

REVIEW

CURRENT AND FUTURE STRATEGIES IN RADIOTHERAPY FOR SMALL-CELL LUNG CANCER

Rodríguez de Dios N^{1,2,3}, Murcia-Mejía M⁴

1. Department of Radiation Oncology. Hospital del Mar. Barcelona.
2. Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute (IMIM)
3. Pompeu Fabra University. Barcelona.
4. Department of Radiation Oncology. Hospital Sant Joan Reus. Tarragona

*Corresponding author

Núria Rodríguez de Dios

Department of Radiation Oncology. Hospital del Mar. Passeig Marítim, 25-29, 08003 Barcelona.

Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute (IMIM). Doctor Aiguader 88, 08003 Barcelona.

Pompeu Fabra University. Barcelona. Doctor Aiguader, 80, 08003 Barcelona

Tel: 003493 367 4144.

E-mail: nrodriguez@psmar.cat

Article information:

Received: May 5, 2020

Revised: June 12, 2020

Accepted: July 17, 2020

Authorship: Both authors: (1) contributed to conception and design of the review (2) wrote the article and made critical revisions related to important intellectual content of the manuscript; and (3) approved the final version of the article to be published.

ABSTRACT

Small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) accounts for 13% of all lung tumours. The standard treatment in patients with limited-stage (LS) disease is thoracic radiotherapy (TRT) combined with chemotherapy. In extensive-stage (ES) SCLC, the importance of consolidation TRT in patients with a good treatment response has become increasingly recognized. In both LS and ES disease, prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI) is recommended in patients who respond to treatment.

New therapeutic approaches such as immunotherapy are being increasingly incorporated into the treatment of SCLC, although more slowly than in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Diverse radiation dose and fractionation schemes, administered in varying combinations with these new drugs, are being investigated. In the present article we review and update the role of radiotherapy in the treatment of SCLC. We also discuss the main clinical trials currently underway in order to identify future trends.

Relevance for patients: Radiotherapy is a critical component of multi-modality treatment of SCLC. This article can help physicians to improve medical knowledge and find better ways to treat their SCLC patients.

Keywords: small-cell lung cancer, lung cancer, radiotherapy, prophylactic cranial irradiation, thoracic radiotherapy, hyperfractionated, hypofractionated.

1. INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the most common cancer type and the leading cause of cancer-related death ^[1]. Small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) is a particularly aggressive histological subtype characterized by a rapid doubling time, a tendency for early dissemination and in many cases, rapid symptom onset ^[2]. SCLC comprises about 13-15% of all lung cancer cases ^[3].

The incidence of SCLC is strongly associated with cigarette smoking. In Western countries, fewer new cases of SCLC are being diagnosed overall as smoking prevalence has decreased; however, in women, the incidence has increased and now accounts for 50% of new cases, this might reflect changes in smoking habits ^[4,5]. The average age at diagnosis is also rising (typically > age 70), a trend that has implications for the management of this disease ^[6].

In 2009 the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (IASLC) recommended the 8th edition of the Lung Cancer TNM Classification for SCLC staging to define better prognosis and personalised treatment options ^[7]. However, the Veterans Administration Lung Group stage system from 1957 which defines limited-stage (LS) and extensive-stage (ES) disease is the most commonly classification used in clinical practice. Patients with disease confined to one hemithorax, with or without involvement of the mediastinal, contralateral hilar or ipsilateral supraclavicular, or scalene lymph nodes that could safely be encompassed in the radiotherapy field are considered to have LS, whereas those with disease involvement at any other location are considered to have ES ^[8]. Unfortunately, only 40% of patients are diagnosed with LS; moreover, the proportion of patients diagnosed with ES has increased substantially in recent years due to the inclusion of positron-emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in diagnostic protocols ^[9].

Even with treatment, outcomes are poor, with a median survival of 16-22 months, with a 5-year survival rate <20% in LS and only 8-13 months, with a 5-year survival rate <2% in ES ^[10,11]. For this reason, numerous authors have sought to find a role for surgery in well-selected patients with early-stage disease ^[12]. Similarly, attempts to optimize chemotherapy regimens in recent decades have only marginally improved treatment response rates. For radiotherapy, strategies to improve outcomes have mainly focused on identifying optimal fractionation schedules, treatment volumes, and timing and on reducing treatment-related side effects. Given this context, there is a strong interest in incorporating new technologies and immune therapies into the treatment arsenal. Nonetheless, at present, smoking cessation interventions are still the main approach used to reduce SCLC-related mortality, primarily because such interventions have proven effective in both preventing disease and improving prognosis after diagnosis ^[13]. The purpose of this article is to present current data and future directions regarding the treatment of SCLC.

2. LIMITED-STAGE SMALL-CELL LUNG CANCER

2.1 Stage I – IIA (T1-T2, N0, M0)

Stage I-IIA (T1-T2N0M0) represents less than 5% of SCLC. The development of the TNM classification system has increased interest in the role of surgery in SCLC. Although only retrospective, non-randomized studies are currently available, several authors suggest that surgical resection should be considered in the initial treatment of stage T1-2N0, based on the reported 5-year survival rate of 57% in stage I patients [14]. Surgical resection yielded a 5-year survival of 44.9% vs 11.3% compared to conventionally treatment in patients with peripheral stage IA-IB disease [15]. The preferred operation is lobectomy with a mediastinal lymph node dissection after the standard staging evaluation [16]. All authors emphasize the importance of comprehensive staging using PET-CT and complete mediastinal evaluation prior to surgery [17]. Adjuvant chemotherapy following tumour resection is also recommended [18,19,20]. Several authors have explained the rationale for surgery in SCLC, including the coexistence of mixed histologies, the presence of small lung nodules (i.e., typical or atypical carcinoids) misdiagnosed as SCLC, and the fact that salvage surgery may be preferable to second-line chemotherapy in cases with a local recurrence after an initial response to chemotherapy or if a new tumour appears within 2 years of successful treatment [21,22]. Adjuvant mediastinal radiotherapy after surgical resection is recommended in pN1 and pN2 disease, administered sequentially or concomitantly to chemotherapy. Adjuvant mediastinal radiotherapy improved median survival in pN1 and pN2 disease and may also be associated with longer survival in patients undergoing sublobar resection [23].

Selected patients with stage I-IIA who are medically inoperable due to medical comorbidities, functional status, poor lung function or refusing surgery may be candidates for stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) [24]. One multicentre study has reported their experience with SBRT in patients with stage T1-2N0 SCLC. All patients were prescribed 50 Gy in 5 fractions describing a local control of 97.4% at 1 year and 96.1% at 3 years, 1- and 3-year median disease-free survival (DFS), respectively, of 58.3% and 53.2%. Median overall survival (OS) was 17.8 months (69.9% at 1 year and 34% at 3 years). These results were achieved with low toxicity (only 5.2% developed grade ≥ 2 pneumonitis), leading the authors to conclude that SBRT should be considered as a treatment option for early-stage SCLC [25]. Sequential chemotherapy after SBRT demonstrated a OS of 31.4 vs 14.3 months compared to SBRT alone [26].

2.2 Stage IIB - IIIC (T3-4, N0, M0; T1-4, N1-3, M0)

Concurrent chemotherapy and thoracic radiotherapy (TRT) are the standard treatment established. The recommended chemotherapy regimen is platinum-etoposide (PE), maximum of 4-6 cycles, which has

been proven to increase survival with less toxicity than other chemotherapy regimens that combine anthracyclines, vinca alkaloids, methotrexate, and/or cyclophosphamide [27,28].

Results from two meta-analysis showed that the use of TRT associated to chemotherapy compared to chemotherapy alone improves intrathoracic disease control at 2 years (47% vs 24%, respectively) and OS at 3 years by 5.4% consolidate the role of TRT in the LS [29,30].

However, the optimal dose and fraction schedule remains controversial. Two different groups compared the effectiveness of alternating to sequential TRT-chemotherapy and concurrent to alternating TRT-chemotherapy. Nevertheless, neither study found any significant differences between these treatment schedules [31,32]. A phase III study compared concurrent to sequential TRT-chemotherapy. In that study, hyperfractionated TRT 45 Gy (1.5 Gy twice daily) was delivered either on the second day of the first cycle or after 4 sequential cycles, with PE chemotherapy administered in both treatment arms. The results showed a non-significant trend towards improved OS in the concurrent treatment group (27 vs 20 months, $p < 0.10$) [33]. Recently a preliminary data of a randomized phase II trial comparing high-dose TRT of 60 Gy in 40 fractions (twice daily in 4 weeks) with the standard 45 Gy in 30 fractions (twice daily for 3 weeks) was reported, showing a significant improvement in OS (42 months vs 23 months). There were no significant differences about toxicity [34].

On the other side, data from accelerated hypofractionated thoracic radiation therapy (HypoTRT) has been reported in the literature, 40Gy in 16 fractions (once daily) with concurrent chemotherapy followed by prophylactic cranial irradiation, it appears to be well tolerated [35]. A phase II study showed that HypoTRT of 55 Gy at 2.5 Gy per fraction daily combined with concurrent chemotherapy in LS has favorable survival and acceptable toxicity [36]. A randomized phase II trial comparing hyperfractionated 45 Gy in 30 fractions (twice daily) with HypoTRT 42 Gy in 15 fractions (once daily) in LS reported a higher rate of complete responses and the longer median OS in hyperfractionated schedule but not statistically significant. There was no difference in toxicity between the two TRT schedules [37].

Regarding timing, early irradiation could reduce the population of chemoresistant cells that may be responsible for treatment failure. Early irradiation could also minimize cellular repopulation. However, delaying TRT after chemotherapy has been completed also has advantages, as the residual tumour volume is likely to be smaller and consequently, the healthy tissue would also be spared, thereby reducing side effects. Although a significant benefit for early TRT has not been observed in all studies, a systematic review showed a small but significant improvement in 2-year OS (5.2%) in patients who received early TRT within the first 9 weeks from the start of chemotherapy [38]. A subgroup analysis showed that this approach was most beneficial in patients who received hyperfractionated TRT and platinum-based chemotherapy.

The time elapsed from the start to end of radiotherapy (SER) is important predictor of outcome and significantly associated with overall survival. The 5-year OS with early TRT and SER < 30 days was $> 20\%$ [39].

A meta-analysis compared earlier to later radiotherapy or shorter versus longer radiotherapy. Early radiotherapy was defined as radiotherapy initiated within 9 weeks from the start of chemotherapy but before the third cycle. Short vs longer course radiotherapy was defined as a difference of ≥ 2 weeks in treatment duration. OS was significantly better in early or shorter radiotherapy when all chemotherapy cycles were completed (hazard ratio 0.79) ^[40].

Target volumes definition should include the involved mediastinal nodes and the primary tumour. Two phase II studies evaluated patients in which only the primary tumour and the positive nodal areas on the pre-treatment computed tomography scan were irradiated. Those studies found isolated nodal recurrence in 5% and 11% of patients, respectively ^[41,42]. PET-CT not only improves staging but also treatment planning. In one study, only the positive lymph nodes on pre-treatment PET-CT were irradiated, resulting in a low rate (3%) of isolated nodal recurrence ^[43].

The optimal dose of TRT has not been definitively established. Intergroup 0096 trial is considered a landmark in the treatment of SCLC. Patients were randomized to either 45 Gy in 5 weeks (25 daily sessions of 1.8 Gy) or 45 Gy in 3 weeks (1.5 Gy, twice daily, 30 fractions) beginning with the first of four PE cycles. The 5-year OS in the hyperfractionated group was 26% versus 16% in the conventional-treatment group ($p=0.04$) and local failure was also lower in the hyperfractionated group (36% vs 52%, $p=0.06$), although acute toxicity (grade 3 esophagitis) was higher (27% vs. 11%; $p<0.001$) ^[44]. Although twice daily radiotherapy concurrently with chemotherapy was established as standard of care, concern about toxicity, logistical issues and low dose in the control group resulted in the poor adoption of this regimen ^[45,46].

The CONVERT trial is a phase III designed to compare the standard 45 Gy in 30 fractions (twice daily for 3 weeks) to 66 Gy in 33 fractions (once daily over 6.5 weeks) starting on day 22 of the chemotherapy cycle 1 (4-6 cycles of cisplatin 25 mg/m² on days 1-3 or 75 mg/m² on day 1 with etoposide 100mg/m² on days 1-3), followed by prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI) if indicated. At a median follow-up of 45 months, no significant between-group differences were found in 1, 2 or 3-year OS (respectively: 83% vs 76%; 56% vs 51%; and 43% vs 39%). The acute toxicity profile is comparable except for higher rates of G3-G4 neutropenia in the hyperfractionated group, without any differences in chronic toxicity. This trial was designed to show superiority of one daily radiotherapy and was not powered to show equivalence, twice daily radiotherapy should continue to be the standard of care in this group of patients ^[47]. The CONVERT trial reports a lower toxicity due use of PET-CT, 3D conformal radiotherapy or IMRT techniques and no elective nodal radiation ^[48].

The CALGB 30610/RTOG 0538 is a phase III study started as a 3-arms study designed to discontinue one of 2 experimental TRT arms based on interim toxicity assessment. Both TRT regimens, 70 Gy daily and 61.2 Gy concomitant boost, concurrent with cisplatin and etoposide chemotherapy, appear to be tolerable without unexpected toxicity. Results of comparing 70 Gy daily in 7 weeks against standard 45 Gy in 30 fractions (twice daily) is near completion ^[49].

2.3 Prophylactic cranial irradiation

The standard chemotherapy for SCLC has a poor effect over central nervous system that is associated with developing brain metastases (BM). PCI in patients with LS demonstrated a decrease in BM incidence at 3 years (59% vs 33%) with an absolute advantage of 5.4% in OS ^[50].

A randomised clinical trial evaluated the dose-response effect of PCI in patients with LS in complete response (CR). No significant difference in the incidence of BM was observed between the higher-dose 36 Gy in 18 fractions at 2 Gy daily or 24 fractions at 1.5 Gy two daily sessions vs. standard-dose 25 Gy in 10 fractions at 2.5 Gy daily. However, 2-year OS was significantly worse in the high-dose group (37% vs 42%) ^[51]. Other studies suggest that higher doses are more toxic. Advanced age and high doses >25 Gy show a significant increase in the incidence of chronic neurotoxicity ^[52].

PCI is associated with improved survival in early-stage patients who undergo complete surgical resection as the initial treatment. A subgroup analysis of the retrospective study showed a significant improvement in stage II-III patients but not in stage I patients, possibly due to the low incidence of BM in that group ^[53].

A review evaluated PCI in surgically treated stage T1-2N0 patients who underwent rigorous preoperative staging, showing that BM and survival did not differ significantly whether patients receive PCI or not; in addition, PCI-related neurological toxicity was found to be minimal. The authors conclude that PCI could be omitted in surgically resected stage T1-2N0 patients provided that close follow-up with MRI is performed ^[54]. Overall, PCI improved progression-free survival and reduced early incidence of BM, retrospective studies suggest that patients with LS and CR, PCI did not relate the survival benefit when MRI and stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) were available ^[55,56].

In summary, stage I-IIA may be treated with surgery or SBRT followed by adjuvant chemotherapy and the standard approach to LS is concurrent PE chemotherapy and TRT administered with the 1st or 2nd cycle followed by PCI for patients who respond to treatment. Hyperfractionation 45 Gy in twice-daily 1.5 Gy fraction remains the standard approach to radiotherapy, but 66-70 Gy in 2 Gy- daily fractions or 60 Gy in twice daily 1.5 Gy fraction can be considered.

3. EXTENSIVE-STAGE SMALL CELL LUNG CANCER

Classically, the standard treatment for ES-SCLC is 4 to 6 cycles of PE chemotherapy, which achieves a median overall survival ranging from 8-13 months. However, even with objective response rates of 60-80%, only 15-20% of patients achieve CR and prognosis is poor (5-year survival is only 1%) due to

recurrence, mainly in the thorax or brain ^[11,57]. The first-line treatment of ES-SCLC has changed recently with the use of the combination of chemotherapy and immunotherapy. This combination is currently considered the standard first-line treatment of ES-SCLC. The randomized phase III IMpower 133 trial showed a significant benefit in OS when atezolizumab, a PD-L1 inhibitor, was added to first-line chemotherapy (carboplatin and etoposide) and continued into the maintenance phase ^[58]. The phase III CASPIAN trial, evaluated durvalumab, with or without tremelimumab plus chemotherapy (etoposide and cis/carboplatin). The planned interim analysis of overall survival for durvalumab demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in OS ^[59]. One of the differences between the CASPIAN trial and the IMpower133 trial, which was interesting, was that the CASPIAN trial allowed patients with asymptomatic untreated BM to go on trial without prior brain radiation. Finally, pembrolizumab has been evaluated in patients with newly diagnosed ES-SCLC in combination with chemotherapy (cisplatin/carboplatin plus etoposide) in a phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial (KEYNOTE 604) ^[60]. These data show a clear benefit with addition of PD-L1 therapy to front line chemotherapy with 2-year OS rates of 22%

3.1 Prophylactic cranial irradiation

Approximately 50% of patients with SCLC develop BM. In the meta-analysis by Aupein et al ^[50]. 15% of patients had ES and PCI was equally beneficial in both ES and LS.

In 2007, a phase III EORTC RCT ^[61] allocated 286 patients (any response to chemotherapy) to PCI or observation, finding that PCI significantly reduced the risk of symptomatic BM (40% vs. 15% at 1 year) and increased disease free survival (DFS) and OS (27.1% vs 13.3%), without a significant impact on quality of life. A follow-up study ^[62] reported details about toxicity, alopecia, and fatigue, all of which were significantly higher in patients who underwent PCI; importantly, however, PCI was well-tolerated, and these side effects did not negatively influence patient self-assessment about their overall health status. The authors conclude that physicians should be alert to possible toxicity, inform patients about the risk, and provide clinical and psychosocial support during follow-up.

Similarly, meta-analysis ^[50,63,64,65] and some pooled analysis ^[66,67] confirmed the EORTC findings described above. Schild et al ^[68]. reported better OS at 1 and 3 years (56% and 18%) in patients treated with PCI versus only 32% and 5% in patients without PCI (HR 0.61, $p < 0.0001$). After adjusting for age, performance status, stage, CR and the number of metastatic locations, hazard ratio remained significant. However, PCI was associated with more grade 3 side effects, especially alopecia and lethargy. Survival was better in patients treated with 25 Gy in 10 fractions. A sub-analysis of the NCCTG trial that included only elderly patients (≥ 70 years) found that the survival benefit was maintained even in these older patients ^[61].

Thus, PCI became the standard of care in the ES-SCLC setting; however, a meta-analysis questioned the OS benefit of PCI in ES-SCLC [69]. A Japanese randomized trial recently showed that PCI did not increase OS for patients with ES-SCLC. At a planned interim analysis in 2013, the study was terminated early due to futility. Median OS were 11.6 and 13.7 months for the PCI and observation groups respectively. The incidence of BM in the PCI group was significantly lower, but anorexia and discomfort were slightly more frequent. It should be noted that no quality of life and limited neurocognition data are available from this trial [70].

The divergence between the EORTC results and those of Takahashi et al. could be explained by the use of MRI, which detects asymptomatic BM in 25-35% of patients with a CR. Slotman et al. did not use MRI before PCI nor in follow-up of the control group, except when symptomatology suggestive of BM was observed. By contrast, Takahashi et al. performed MRI on all patients. As the authors report, physicians in countries other than Japan should be cautious when extrapolating the results of this study to general practice in view of different ethnicities and medical situations. A new analysis performed by Slotman et al, excluding trial patients who had died or developed BM in the first 8 weeks after randomization, confirmed the beneficial effect of PCI [71].

Taken together, these data demonstrate evidence that PCI is associated with a significant reduction in the incidence of BM in ES-SCLC. However, the benefit of PCI on OS may be debatable if patients receive routine surveillance imaging with an MRI brain. Moreover, the majority of patients who do not receive PCI will require salvage whole-brain RT (WBRT) for treatment of BM.

A consensus analysis of group of 13 European experts from the European Society for Therapeutic Radiation Oncology (ESTRO) and IASLC was performed. It showed that European radiation oncologist and medical oncologists specializing in lung cancer recommend PCI in selected patients and restrict its use primarily to fit, non-elderly patients who responded to chemotherapy [72].

3.2 Thoracic radiotherapy

After chemotherapy, 75% patients present persistent intrathoracic disease and 90% develop intrathoracic progression in the first year [61]. Improved staging with PET-CT has resulted in an increase in patients diagnosed with ES vs. LS. The meta-analyses by Pignon et al [29]. and Warde et al [30]. confirmed the benefits of TRT in many patients who today would be diagnosed with ES-SCLC. The role of TRT was addressed in tree randomized controlled trial [73,74,75] and one meta-analysis [76].

Jeremic et al [73]. conducted a randomized controlled trial to assess the association between intrathoracic tumour control and OS. The study included 206 patients <70 years of age with a Karnofsky index $\geq 70\%$.

After 3 cycles of PE, patients with a local and distant complete response (CR/CR) or local partial response and distant complete response (PR/CR) (109 patients, groups 1 and 2) were randomized to 2 more cycles of PE or hyperfractionated TRT concomitant with daily low dose of PE chemotherapy. PCI was later administered in both groups. Patients who experienced less response to chemotherapy were not randomized (groups 3, 4, and 5). OS was higher in the TRT arm (17 vs 11 months; 5-year OS: 9.1% vs 3.7%, respectively; $p=0.041$), although the incidence of grade 3 esophagitis was also greater. The best results were observed in the groups (CR/CR or PR/CR) with the best prognostic features after induction chemotherapy. However, these results have not been extended to clinical practice.

In 2015, Slotman et al ^[74] reported the results of a multicentre phase III trial, called CREST, in which patients with ES-SCLC were randomized to receive either consolidative TRT or no TRT. In this trial, 495 patients with ES-SCLC with any response after four to six cycles of PE chemotherapy were randomized to receive 30 Gy of TRT in ten fractions or no TRT within 6 weeks of chemotherapy completion. All patients in the study received PCI. While the primary endpoint, OS at 1 year, did not show a statistically significant difference between the two groups, a secondary planned analysis of OS at 2 years was performed. This showed a 2-year OS of 13% (95% CI 9–19) in the TRT group vs 3% (95% CI 2–8; $p=0.004$) in the no TRT group. Similarly, the secondary endpoint of progression free survival was better in the TRT group (24%, 95% CI 19–30) than no TRT group (7%, 95% CI 4–11; $p=0.001$). Furthermore, intrathoracic progression was significantly lower occurring in 43.7% of patients with TRT vs 79.8% of patients with no TRT ($p < 0.001$). These results also held true for intrathoracic progression as the first site of relapse (41.7% vs 77.8%, $p < 0.001$) and as the only site of relapse (19.8% vs 46.0%, $p < 0.001$). Severe toxicity was low, with only 1.6% of patients experiencing grade 3 acute esophagitis and 4.5% grade 3 fatigue. The authors conclude that TRT plus PCI should be considered in all patients with ES-SCLC who respond to chemotherapy. A post-hoc analysis showed that OS was higher in patients with post-chemotherapy residual chest disease and no benefit was seen for thoracic CR (HR 0.81; 95% CI 0.66–1.00, $p=0.044$). Another secondary analysis of the study concluded that the OS ($p = 0.02$) and progression-free survival (PFS) ($p = 0.04$) were significantly better in patients with 2 or fewer metastases, with OS significantly worse if liver ($p = 0.03$) and/or bone metastases ($p = 0.04$) were present ^[77].

However, the high rates of initial intrathoracic progression in this study may be atypical in the general ES-SCLC population where widespread metastatic disease is often present upon recurrence. More than 40% of patients who received TRT had an intrathoracic recurrence, which might suggest that even higher doses of radiation might be more efficacious. In a prospective non-randomized phase 2 study of patients with ES-SCLC given four cycles of platin-based chemotherapy, subsequent consolidative TRT delivered as 40 Gy in 15 daily fractions was well tolerated, and only five of 32 patients developed a symptomatic chest recurrence ^[78].

The RTOG 0937 trial included patients with ES disease with 1–4 sites extracranial metastatic disease who achieved a PR/CR to systemic therapy. Patients with BM were excluded. Patients were randomized to receive PCI with or without radiotherapy to the thorax as well as sites of distant metastatic disease. In contrast to the CREST study, patients were recommended to receive a dose of 45 Gy in 3 Gy fractions to the thorax and 30–45 Gy to sites of distant disease. The primary endpoint of the study was OS and planned accrual was 154 patients. However, the study accrued very slowly and was terminated early after 97 evaluable patients were enrolled to the study due to a pre-planned interim analysis that showed the study crossed the futility boundary for OS. One-year OS was better than expected in both arms: 60.1% in the PCI arm and 50.8% in the PCI + TRT arm (compared to 27% in the best arm of EORTC PCI study). Time to progression showed a significant benefit for the patients who received local radiotherapy. Pattern of failure analysis demonstrated that consolidative radiotherapy to all sites of extracranial disease following PCI reduced the risk of first failure in the thorax from 62.5% to 25.8% and reduced the risk of failure at one of the sites of presenting metastatic disease from 78.1% to 41.9% [75].

The meta-analysis by Palma [76] concluded that TRT increases OS and PFS in patients with ES-SCLC who respond to the initial chemotherapy, with only a small increase in the risk of esophageal toxicity.

To summarize the role of radiotherapy in ES-SCLC, we can state that administration of PCI in chemotherapy responders decreases the incidence of symptomatic BM and may increase OS. The standard dose is 25 Gy in 10 daily fractions. If PCI is not prescribed, MRI-based brain imaging should be performed for early detection of BM given the potential benefit of SRS in these cases.

TRT improves OS and should be considered in ES-SCLC patients with no progression after chemotherapy (especially for residual thoracic tumour). A minimum dose of 30 Gy in 10 fractions is recommended.

4. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The availability of increasingly accurate imaging systems in modern treatment units allows us to modify the treatment plan according to temporary changes in anatomy (tumour reduction, atelectasis, weight loss, internal movement, etc.) as well as according to changes in the biology/function of the tumour (proliferation, hypoxia, etc.). This ability to modify the treatment is known as adaptive radiotherapy, an approach that is especially valuable in SCLC because this tumour type is more sensitive than other types to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Yee et al [79], performed serial 4D-CT scans to quantify changes in tumour volume during concomitant chemo-radiotherapy, finding that the maximal reduction in volume

occurred early (i.e., after the first week) in the treatment process. The ability to adapt the treatment plan to match the reduced tumour volume would allow us to simultaneously increase the dose to the tumour while reducing the dose to organs at risk, which would also decrease toxicity^[80]. This approach has also been shown to improve local control^[81]. Recent advances in medical imaging technology allow the use of more advanced image analysis methods beyond simple measurements of tumour size or radiotracer uptake metrics. Radiomics is an emerging field of quantitative imaging that aims to extract quantitative data from medical images to characterize tumour histology or heterogeneity using a large set of advanced imaging features. Radiomics has several implications in lung cancer. Several papers have shown that the combination of clinical, genomic, and radiomic features, provides information that may be useful to guide the therapies and predict survival. Ramella et al^[82], investigated the feasibility of a system where the radiomic features of the NSCLC patient's initial imaging were able to predict tumour reduction during chemoradiation. Recently, also prediction using radiomics analyses of cone-beam CT images has been reported^[83].

There are several unanswered questions regarding the treatment of ES-SCLC. For example, we do not know the optimal radiotherapy dose for thoracic consolidation, nor the indication for this technique in patients with thoracic CR or distant PR. A phase III trial (Clinical Trials. Gov Identifier: NCT02675088) is currently being conducted to compare 45 Gy at 3 Gy/day in 15 fractions versus 30 Gy in 10 fractions (the dose used in the CREST trial)^[70] with the primary endpoint being 2-year OS. In terms of the design of future studies, the use of PET-CT scans would be valuable to better assess the primary tumour and the location of the metastatic lesions—such information would help to improve patient selection. In addition, given recent technological advancements, it is now possible to deliver radical doses to treat more than one location simultaneously; hence detailed data on the metastatic lesions are crucial. Additional research on TRT and immunotherapy is needed to address indications, timing and dose to combine both treatments in ES-SCLC patients.

Following on from positive trials adding immunotherapy to standard of care treatment in ES-SCLC an ongoing question is whether immunotherapy could improve survival in the LS setting. There are three randomized trials currently investigating the addition of immunotherapy to chemoradiotherapy in LS-SCLC. NRG Oncology and Alliance are currently testing the use of atezolizumab after chemoradiotherapy in the LS-SCLC setting (Clinical Trials. gov Identifier: NCT03811002). Another trial utilizing immunotherapy for the treatment of LS-SCLC is the European Thoracic Oncology Platform (ETOP) sponsored STIMULI trial which is a phase II randomized trial investigating if the use of consolidation with nivolumab and ipilimumab in LS-SCLC after chemoradiation therapy and PCI is better than chemoradiation therapy and PCI alone (Clinical Trials. gov Identifier: NCT02046733) Another clinical trial testing the role of immunotherapy is the phase III, randomized, double-blind,

international study ADRIATIC study sponsored by Astrazeneca (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03703297). This is a three-arm study evaluating the efficacy of Durvalumab or Durvalumab with Tremelimumab compared to placebo for consolidation in LS-SCLC patients who have not progressed after concurrent chemoradiation

The benefit of PCI was called into question with the publication of a Japanese randomized trial. Contemporary use of MRI and head computed tomography staging detects BM in approximately one-quarter of patients with SCLC at diagnosis and in an additional one-third of patients after initial therapy^[84]. A recent meta-analysis of 7 similar randomized trials, which included more than 2000 patients, found substantial heterogeneity in their OS analysis, which the authors thought was associated primarily with the heterogeneity in imaging protocols among the various trials^[85]. Pezzi et al^[86], retrospectively compared the rates of intracranial control and OS data in patients with LS-SCLC, all of whom underwent staging with MRI, who were treated with or without PCI. The 3-year cumulative incidence rate of BM was higher in the no-PCI group vs the PCI group, when counting death as a competing risk, but the difference was not statistically significant (20.40% [95% CI, 12.45%-29.67%] vs 11.20% [95% CI, 5.40%-19.20%]; $p = 0.10$). The use of PCI was not associated with a difference in OS between the patient groups (hazard ratio, 0.844; 95% CI, 0.604-1.180; $p = 0.32$). There is one ongoing phase III trial (S1827/MAVERICK trial, Clinical Trials. gov Identifier: NCT04155034) evaluating the role of PCI vs MRI surveillance in patients with both LS and ES SCLC. A similar study is in set up within the EORTC as well.

In recent years, researchers have sought to reduce PCI-related toxicity, especially the deleterious effects on neurocognitive function. Several factors have been associated with increased cognitive impairment in patients treated with PCI, including the administration of daily doses > 3 Gy, higher total doses, the use of chemotherapy, and patient-related factors (age, chronic tobacco exposure, diabetes, and depression, among others) or to the disease itself (undiagnosed micrometastases, paraneoplastic syndromes, etc.). Both preclinical and clinical studies have shown that one of the most important neurocognitive changes associated with WBRT is a selective negative effect on memory due to a decrease in hippocampal neurogenesis^[87], with a dose-response relationship between the radiation dose to the hippocampus and the risk of memory and learning impairment^[88]. Given the very low (5%) risk of hippocampal metastasis^[89], together with the promising results achieved in patients whose BM were treated with hippocampal-avoidance WBRT^[90], three phase III studies (NKI/AVL Clinical Trials. Gov Identifier: NCT01780675; NRG CC003 Clinical Trials. Gov Identifier: NCT02635009 and PREMIER-TRIAL Clinical Trials. Gov Identifier: NCT02397733^[91]) are underway to evaluate this approach in patients with ES- or LS-SCLC without BM. All three studies share a similar design and the same primary objective: to evaluate the efficacy of hippocampal-avoidance PCI (HA-PCI) to prevent neurocognitive

alterations Two of these studies have been reported in an abstract form. NKI-AVL, where HA-PCI compared to conventional PCI revealed a non-significant decline by ≥ 5 points at Hopkins Verbal Test Learning-Revised (HVLTR) total recall score in 28% of the total group^[92]. In contrast, PREMIER-trial reported a significant decline by ≥ 3 points at Free and Cued selective Reminding test (FCSRT) delayed free recall 22.22% vs 5.08% [OR 5.33; 95 CI: 1.44-19.65; p=0.006] and FCSRT total recall 20.63% vs 6.78% [OR 3.57 (1.09-11.68) p 0.02] in PCI vs HA-PCI group^[93]. Another related line of research could be the use of Alzheimer's drugs to reduce the negative effects of radiation-induced toxicity on neurocognitive function due to the excellent results reported in patients with BM^[94,95].

Although SRS alone for limited brain metastases has been accepted across most histologies, SCLC represents a notable exception where whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) remains a guideline recommendation in cases ranging from diffuse to solitary brain lesions. SRS has been relegated to use mainly after failed WBRT probably due to lack of evidence of the efficacy of SRS for this malignancy. However, recent refinements in diagnostic and therapeutic modalities, including the expanded use of surveillance brain MRI, evolving controversies surrounding PCI and integration of immunotherapy into treatment, may impact the modern management of BM. Historic objections to the use of SRS in SCLC have included the concern for diffuse interval SNC progression and the potential for a resulting decrease in survival in such cases. There is, however, growing evidence to suggest that SRS alone may be appropriate for some patients with SCLC^[96,97,98]. One recent phase II trial, (ENCEPHALON. Clinical Trials. Gov Identifier: NCT03297788) is comparing SRS to WBRT for SCLC patients with 1-10 brain metastases.

Proton beam therapy (PBT) represents one of the most advanced radiation modalities for oncologic management. Emerging evidence from NSCLC has demonstrated a significant correlation between radiation dose to the heart and OS, even after correcting for other well-established prognostic factors^[99]. This has led to interest in evaluating the role of PBT as a method to reduce radiation dose to the heart while maintaining definitive radiation dose required for lung cancer treatment. Although this relationship is best established in NSCLC, it is plausible that a similar phenomenon is seen in SCLC patients, where patients are even more likely to have bulky central disease that will result in higher radiation doses to the heart using photon radiotherapy.

The results of a prospective study evaluating outcomes for LS-SCLC patients treated with PBT were recently published. This single-institution study from the University of Pennsylvania included 30 patients treated to a median dose of 63.9 Gy cobalt Gy equivalents. The median OS for the cohort was 28.2 months and there few high-grade treatment-related adverse events^[100]. Although the results of this prospective study are encouraging, they require validation in future clinical trials.

5. CONCLUSION

The most recent studies of SCLC underscore the importance of using a multimodal approach that includes both chemotherapy and radiotherapy to treat both limited and extensive stage SCLC (Table 1). Currently, the standard radiotherapeutic approach to LS-SCLC is 45 Gy delivered in a continuous hyperfractionated regimen; however, 66-70 Gy in daily fractionation can also be used pending the results of ongoing trials. In ES-SCLC, consolidation thoracic radiotherapy with a minimum dose of 30 Gy in 10 fractions should be offered to patients who respond well to chemotherapy. Lastly, PCI techniques with hippocampal avoidance are being examined along with the role of MRI surveillance. The results of ongoing large randomized trials along with future research and novel immunotherapeutic and new combinations are expected to define the final role of immunotherapy in the treatment algorithm for SCLC

Conflict of interest: The authors indicate no potential conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments: The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

1. **Cheng T-YD**, Cramb SM, Baade PD, Youlten DR, Nwogu C, Reid ME. The International Epidemiology of Lung Cancer: Latest Trends, Disparities, and Tumor Characteristics. *J Thorac Oncol* 2016, 11:1653-1671. [PMID:27364315 DOI:10.1016/j.jtho.2016.05.021]
2. **Van Meerbeeck JP**, Fennell DA, De Ruyscher DKM. Small-cell lung cancer. *Lancet* 2011, 378:1741-1755. [PMID:21565397 DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60165-7]
3. **Govindan R**, Page N, Morgensztern D, Read W, Tierney R, Vlahiotis A, Spitznagel, EL, Piccirillo J. Changing Epidemiology of Small-Cell Lung Cancer in the United States Over the Last 30 Years: Analysis of the Surveillance, Epidemiologic, and End Results Database. *J Clin Oncol* 2006, 24:4539-4544. [PMID:17008692 DOI:10.1200/JCO.2005.04.4859]
4. **Bray F**, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2018, 68:394-424. [PMID:30207593 DOI:10.3322/caac.21492]
5. **Kalemkerian GP**, Akerley W, Bogner P, Borghaei H, Chow LQ, Downey RJ, Gandhi L, Ganti AK, Govindan R, Grecula JC, Hayman J, Heist RS, Horn L, Jahan T, Koczywas M, Loo BW, Merritt RE, Moran CA, Niell HB, O'Malley J, Patel JD, Ready N, Rudin CM, Williams CC, Gregory K, Hughes M. Small cell lung cancer. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2013, 11:78-98. [PMID:23307984 DOI:10.6004/jnccn.2013.0011]
6. **Slotman BJ**, Senan S. Radiotherapy in Small-Cell Lung Cancer: Lessons Learned and Future Directions. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2011, 79:998-1003. [PMID:21353159 DOI:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.10.039]
7. **Nicholson AG**, Chansky K, Crowley J, Beyruti R, Kubota K, Turrisi A, Eberhardt WE, van Meerbeeck J, Rami-Porta R. The International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer Lung Cancer Staging Project: Proposals for the Revision of the Clinical and Pathologic Staging of Small Cell Lung Cancer in the Forthcoming Eighth Edition of the TNM Classification for Lung Cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2016, 11:300-311. [PMID:26723244 DOI:10.1016/j.jtho.2015.10.008]
8. **Micke P**, Faldum A, Metz T, Beeh KM, Bittinger F, Hengstler JG, Buhl R. Staging small cell lung cancer: Veterans Administration Lung Study Group versus International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer—what limits limited disease? *Lung Cancer* 2002, 37:271-276. [PMID:12234695 DOI:10.1016/S0169-5002(02)00072-7]
9. **Manoharan P**, Salem A, Mistry H, Gornall M, Harden S, Julyan P, Locke I, McAleese J, McMenemin R, Mohammed N, Snee M, Woods S, Westwood T, Faivre-Finn C. (18)F-Fludeoxyglucose PET/CT in SCLC: Analysis of the CONVERT Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Thorac Oncol* 2019, 14:1296-1305. [PMID:31002954 DOI:10.1016/j.jtho.2019.03.023]
10. **Simon GR**, Turrisi A. Management of Small Cell Lung Cancer: ACCP Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (2nd Edition). *Chest* 2007, 132:324S-339S. [PMID:17873178 DOI:10.1378/chest.07-1385]
11. **de Castro Carpeño J**, Dols MC, Gomez MD, Gracia PR, Crama L, Campelo MRG. Survival outcomes in stage IV small-cell lung cancer (IV-SCLC): Analysis from SEER database. *Ann Oncol* 2019, 30:xi30. [DOI:10.1093/ANNONC/MDZ449.038]

12. **Früh M**, De Ruyscher D, Popat S, Crinò L, Peters S, Felip E. Small-cell lung cancer (SCLC): ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 2013, 24 Suppl 6:vi99-vi105. [PMID:23813929 DOI:10.1093/annonc/mdt178]
13. **Parsons A**, Daley A, Begh R, Aveyard P. Influence of smoking cessation after diagnosis of early stage lung cancer on prognosis: systematic review of observational studies with meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2010, 340:b5569-b5569. [PMID:20093278 DOI:10.1136/bmj.b5569]
14. **Shields TW**, Higgins GA Jr, Matthews MJ, Keehn RJ. Surgical resection in the management of small cell carcinoma of the lung. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1982, 84:481-488.[PMID:6289013]
15. **Rostad H**, Naalsund A, Jacobsen R, Strand, TE, Scott H, Strøm EH, Norstein J. Small cell lung cancer in Norway. Should more patients have been offered surgical therapy? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2004, 26:782-786. [PMID:15450573 DOI:10.1016/j.ejcts.2004.06.011]
16. **Lad T**, Piantadosi S, Thomas P, Payne D, Ruckdeschel J, Giaccone G. A Prospective Randomized Trial to Determine the Benefit of Surgical Resection of Residual Disease Following Response of Small Cell Lung Cancer to Combination Chemotherapy. *Chest* 1994, 106:320S-323S. [PMID:7988254 DOI:10.1378/chest.106.6_Supplement.320S]
17. **Yang C-FJ**, Chan DY, Shah SA, Yerokun BA, Wang XF, D'Amico TA, Berry MF, David HH. Long-term Survival After Surgery Compared with Concurrent Chemoradiation for Node-negative Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Ann Surg* 2018, 268(6). [PMID:28475559 DOI:10.1097/SLA.0000000000002287]
18. **Tsuchiya R**, Suzuki K, Ichinose Y, Watanabe Y, Yasumitsu T, Ishizuka N, Kato H. Phase II trial of postoperative adjuvant cisplatin and etoposide in patients with completely resected stage I-IIIa small cell lung cancer: The Japan Clinical Oncology Lung Cancer Study Group Trial (JCOG9101). *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2005, 129:977-983. [PMID:15867769 DOI:10.1016/j.jtcvs.2004.05.030]
19. **Bischof M**, Debus J, Herfarth K, Muley T, Kappes J, Storz K, Hoffmann H. Surgery and Chemotherapy for Small Cell Lung Cancer in Stages I-II with or without Radiotherapy. *Strahlenther Onkol* 2007, 183:679-684. [PMID: 18040612 DOI:10.1007/s00066-007-1740-z]
20. **Yang C-FJ**, Chan DY, Speicher PJ, Gulack B, Wang X, Hartwig M, Onaitis M, Tong B, D'Amico T, Berry M, Harpole D. Role of Adjuvant Therapy in a Population-Based Cohort of Patients With Early-Stage Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2016, 34:1057-1064. [PMID: 26786925 DOI:10.1200/JCO.2015.63.8171]
21. **Anraku M**, Waddell TK. Surgery for Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2006, 18:211-216. [PMID:17185181 DOI:10.1053/j.semtcvs.2006.08.006]
22. **Koletsis EN**, Prokakis C, Karanikolas M, Apostolakis E, Dougenis D. Current role of surgery in small cell lung carcinoma. *J Cardiothorac Surg* 2009, 4:30. [PMID:19589150 DOI:10.1186/1749-8090-4-30]
23. **Wakeam E**, Giuliani M, Leighl NB, Finlayson SRG, Varghese TK, Darling GE. Indications for Adjuvant Mediastinal Radiotherapy in Surgically Resected Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2017, 103:1647-1653. [PMID:10862203 DOI:10.1016/j.athoracsur.2016.11.039]
24. **Shioyama Y**, Onishi H, Takayama K, Matsuo Y, Takeda A, Yamashita H, Miyakawa A, Murakami N, Aoki M, Matsushita H, Matsumoto Y, Shibamoto Y. Clinical Outcomes of Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy for Patients With Stage I Small-Cell Lung Cancer: Analysis of a Subset of the Japanese Radiological Society Multi-Institutional SBRT Study Group Database. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 2018, 17:1533033818783904. [PMID:29983096 DOI:10.1177/1533033818783904]

25. **Verma V**, Simone CB, Allen PK, Gajjar SR, Shah C, Zhen W, Harkenrider MM, Hallemeier CL, Jabbour SK, Matthiesen CL, Braunstein SE, Lee P, Dilling TJ, Allen BG, Nichols EM, Attia A, Zeng J, Biswas T, Paximadis P, Wang F, Walker JM, Stahl JM, Daly ME, Decker RH, Hales RK, Willers H, Videtic GM, Mehta MP, Lin SH. Multi-Institutional Experience of Stereotactic Ablative Radiation Therapy for Stage I Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol* 2017, 97:362-371. [PMID:28011047 DOI:10.1016/J.IJROBP.2016.10.041]
26. **Verma V**, Simone CB, Allen PK, Lin SH. Outcomes of Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy for T1-T2N0 Small Cell Carcinoma According to Addition of Chemotherapy and Prophylactic Cranial Irradiation: A Multicenter Analysis. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2017, 18:675-681.e1. [PMID:28408183 DOI:10.1016/J.CLLC.2017.03.009]
27. **Fukuoka M**, Furuse K, Saijo N, Nishiwaki Y, Ikegami H, Tamura T, Shimoyama M, Suemasu K. Randomized Trial of Cyclophosphamide, Doxorubicin, and Vincristine Versus Cisplatin and Etoposide Versus Alternation of These Regimens in Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1991, 83:855-861. [PMID:1648142 DOI:10.1093/jnci/83.12.855]
28. **Pujol JL**, Carestia L, Daurès JP. Is there a case for cisplatin in the treatment of small-cell lung cancer? A meta-analysis of randomized trials of a cisplatin-containing regimen versus a regimen without this alkylating agent. *Br J Cancer* 2000, 83:8-15. [PMID:10883661 DOI:10.1054/bjoc.2000.1164]
29. **Pignon J-P**, Arriagada R, Ihde DC, Johnson DH, Perry MC, Souhami RL, Brodin O, Joss RA, Kies MS, Lebeau B, Onoshi T, Østerlind K, Tattersall MHN, Wagner H. A Meta-Analysis of Thoracic Radiotherapy for Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1992, 327:1618-1624. [PMID:1331787 DOI:10.1056/NEJM199212033272302]
30. **Warde P**, Payne D. Does thoracic irradiation improve survival and local control in limited-stage small-cell carcinoma of the lung? A meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 1992, 10:890-895. [PMID:1316951 DOI:10.1200/JCO.1992.10.6.890]
31. **Gregor A**, Drings P, Burghouts J, Postmus PE, Morgan D, Sahnoud T, Kirkpatrick A, Dalesio O, Giaccone G. Randomized trial of alternating versus sequential radiotherapy/chemotherapy in limited-disease patients with small-cell lung cancer: a European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Lung Cancer Cooperative Group Study. *J Clin Oncol* 1997, 15:2840-2849. [PMID:9256127 DOI:10.1200/JCO.1997.15.8.2840]
32. **Urban T**, Baleyte T, Chastang CL, Jeannin L, Delaval P, Zaegel M, Mornet M, Coetmeur D, Lebeau B. Standard combination versus alternating chemotherapy in small cell lung cancer: A randomised clinical trial including 394 patients. *Lung Cancer* 1999, 25:105-113. [PMID:10470844 DOI:10.1016/S0169-5002(99)00050-1]
33. **Takada M**, Fukuoka M, Kawahara M, Sugiura T, Yokoyama A, Yokota S, Nishiwaki Y, Watanabe K, Noda K, Tamura T, Fukuda H, Saijo N. Phase III Study of Concurrent Versus Sequential Thoracic Radiotherapy in Combination With Cisplatin and Etoposide for Limited-Stage Small-Cell Lung Cancer: Results of the Japan Clinical Oncology Group Study 9104. *J Clin Oncol* 2002, 20:3054-3060. [PMID:12118018 DOI:10.1200/JCO.2002.12.071]
34. **Gronberg BH**, Killingberg KT, Fløtten Ø, Bjaanæs MM, Madebo T, Langer S, Schytte T, Brustugun OT, Nyman J, Stokke K, Halvorsen TO. Randomized phase II trial comparing the efficacy of standard-dose with high-dose twice-daily thoracic radiotherapy (TRT) in limited disease small-cell lung cancer (LD SCLC). *J Clin Oncol* 2020, 38:15_suppl, 9007-9007. [DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2020.38.15_suppl].

35. **Turgeon GA**, Souhami L, Kopek N, Hirsh V, Ofiara L, Faria SL. Thoracic irradiation in 3 weeks for limited-stage small cell lung cancer: Is twice a day fractionation really needed? *Cancer Radiother.* 2017, 21:89-98. [PMID: 28325618. DOI: 10.1016/j.canrad.2016.09.015]
36. **Xia B**, Hong LZ, Cai XW, Zhu Z F, Liu Q, Zhao KL, Fan M, Mao JF, Yang HJ, Wu KL, Fu XL. Phase 2 study of accelerated hypofractionated thoracic radiation therapy and concurrent chemotherapy in patients with limited-stage small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2015, 91:517-523. [PMID: 25481679. DOI:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2014.09.042]
37. **Grønberg BH**, Halvorsen TO, Fløtten Ø, Brustugun OT, Brunsvig PF, Aasebø U, Bremnes RM, Tollåli T, Hornslien K, Aksnessæther BY, Liaaen ED, Sundstrøm S; Norwegian Lung Cancer Study Group. Randomized phase II trial comparing twice daily hyperfractionated with once daily hypofractionated thoracic radiotherapy in limited disease small cell lung cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2016, 55:591-597. [PMID: 26494411. DOI: 10.3109/0284186X.2015.1092584].
38. **Fried DB**, Morris DE, Poole C, Rosenman J, Halle J, Detterbeck F, Hensing T, Socinski M. Systematic Review Evaluating the Timing of Thoracic Radiation Therapy in Combined Modality Therapy for Limited-Stage Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2004, 22:4837-4845. [PMID:15570087 DOI:10.1200/JCO.2004.01.178]
39. **De Ruyscher D**, Pijls-Johannesma M, Bentzen SM, Minken A, Wanders R, Lutgens L, Hochstenbag M, Boersma L, Wouters B, Lammering G, Vansteenkiste J, Lambin P. Time Between the First Day of Chemotherapy and the Last Day of Chest Radiation Is the Most Important Predictor of Survival in Limited-Disease Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2006, 24:1057-1063. [PMID:16505424 DOI:10.1200/JCO.2005.02.9793]
40. **De Ruyscher D**, Lueza B, Le Péchoux C, Johnson DH, O'Brien M, Murray N, Spiro S, Wang X, Takada M, Lebeau B, Blackstock W, Skarlos D, Baas P, Choy H, Price A, Seymour L, Arriagada R, Pignon J. Impact of thoracic radiotherapy timing in limited-stage small-cell lung cancer: usefulness of the individual patient data meta-analysis. *Ann Oncol* 2016, 27:1818-1828. [PMID:27436850 DOI:10.1093/annonc/mdw263]
41. **Baas P**, Belderbos JSA, Senan S, Kwa HB, van Bochove A, van Tinteren H, Burgers JA, van Meerbeeck JP. Concurrent chemotherapy (carboplatin, paclitaxel, etoposide) and involved-field radiotherapy in limited stage small cell lung cancer: a Dutch multicenter phase II study. *Br J Cancer* 2006, 94:625-630. [PMID:16465191 DOI:10.1038/sj.bjc.6602979]
42. **De Ruyscher D**, Bremer R-H, Koppe F, Wanders S, van Haren E, Hochstenbag M, Geeraedts W, Pitz C, Simons J, ten Velde G, Dohmen J, Snoep G, Boersma L, Verschueren T, van Baardwijk A, Dehing C, Pijls M, Minken A, Lambin P. Omission of elective node irradiation on basis of CT-scans in patients with limited disease small cell lung cancer: A phase II trial. *Radiother Oncol* 2006, 80:307-312. [PMID:16949169 DOI:10.1016/j.radonc.2006.07.029]
43. **van Loon J**, De Ruyscher D, Wanders R, Wanders R, Boersma L, Simons J, Oellers M, Dingemans A, Hochstenbag M, Bootsma G, Geraedts W, Pitz C, Teule J, Rhami A, Thimister W, Snoep G, Dehing-Oberije C, Lambin P. Selective Nodal Irradiation on Basis of ¹⁸FDG-PET Scans in Limited-Disease Small-Cell Lung Cancer: A Prospective Study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010, 77:329-336. [PMID: 19782478 DOI:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.04.075]
44. **Turrisi AT**, Kim K, Blum R, Sause WT, Livingston RB, Komaki R, Wagner H, Aisner S, Johnson DH. Twice-Daily Compared with Once-Daily Thoracic Radiotherapy in Limited Small-Cell Lung

Cancer Treated Concurrently with Cisplatin and Etoposide. *N Engl J Med* 1999, 340:265-271. [PMID: 9920950 DOI:10.1056/NEJM199901283400403]

45. **Komaki R**, Khalid N, Langer CJ, Kong FM, Owen JB, Crozier CL, Wilson JF, Wei X, Movsas B. Penetration of Recommended Procedures for Lung Cancer Staging and Management in the United States Over 10 Years: A Quality Research in Radiation Oncology Survey. *Int J Radiat Oncol* 2013, 85:1082-1089. [PMID:23273996 DOI:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2012.10.016 PMID:23273996]

46. **Farrell MJ**, Yahya JB, Degnin C, Chen Y, Holland JM, Henderson MA, Jaboin JJ, Harkenrider MM, Thomas CR, Mitin T. Radiation Dose and Fractionation for Limited-stage Small-cell Lung Cancer: Survey of US Radiation Oncologists on Practice Patterns. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2019, 20:13-19. [PMID: 30219240 DOI:10.1016/j.clcc.2018.08.015]

47. **Faivre-Finn C**, Snee M, Ashcroft L, Appel W, Barlesi F, Bhatnagar A, Bezjak A, Cardenal F, Fournel P, Harden S, Le P  choux C, McMenemin R, Mohammed N, O'Brien M, Pantarotto J, Surmont V, Van Meerbeeck JP, Woll J, Lorigan P, Blackhall F. Concurrent once-daily versus twice-daily chemoradiotherapy in patients with limited-stage small-cell lung cancer (CONVERT): an open-label, phase 3, randomised, superiority trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2017, 18:1116-1125. [PMID: 28642008 DOI:10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30318-2]

48. **Higgins KA**, Gorgens S, Sudmeier LJ, Faivre-Finn C. Recent developments in limited stage small cell lung cancer. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* 2019, 8 Suppl 2:S147-S152. [PMID:31673519 DOI:10.21037/tlcr.2019.05.13]

49. **Bogart J**, Wang X, Masters G, Zhu H, Komaki R, Gaspar L, Dobelbower MC, Kuzma C, Heymach J, Vokes EE, Stinchcombe T. Interim toxicity analysis for patients with limited stage small cell lung cancer (LSCLC) treated on the experimental thoracic radiotherapy (TRT) arms of CALGB 30610 (Alliance) / RTOG 0538. *Ann Oncol* 2019, 30:v711. [DOI:10.1093/annonc/mdz264.001]

50. **Aup  rin A**, Arriagada R, Pignon J-P, Le P  choux C, Gregor A, Stephens RJ, Kristjansen P, Johnson BE, Ueoka H, Wagner H, Aisner J. Prophylactic Cranial Irradiation for Patients with Small-Cell Lung Cancer in Complete Remission. *N Engl J Med* 1999, 341:476-484. [PMID:10441603 DOI:10.1056/NEJM199908123410703]

51. **Le P  choux C**, Dunant A, Senan S, Wolfson A, Quoix, E, Faivre-Finn C, Ciuleanu T, Arriagada R, Jones R, Wanders R, Lerouge D, Laplanche A. Standard-dose versus higher-dose prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI) in patients with limited-stage small-cell lung cancer in complete remission after chemotherapy and thoracic radiotherapy (PCI 99-01, EORTC 22003-08004, RTOG 0212, and IFCT 99-01): a randomised clinical trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2009,10:467-474. [PMID:19386548 DOI:10.1016/S1470-2045(09)70101-9]

52. **Wolfson AH**, Bae K, Komaki R, Meyers C, Movsas B, Le P  choux C, Werner-Wasik M, Videtic G, Garces YI, Choy H. Primary analysis of a phase II randomized trial Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 0212: impact of different total doses and schedules of prophylactic cranial irradiation on chronic neurotoxicity and quality of life for patients with limited-disease small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2011, 81:77-84. [PMID:20800380 DOI:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.05.013]

53. **Xu J**, Yang H, Fu X, Jin B, Lou Y, Zhang Y, Zhang X, Zhong H, Wang H, Wu D, Han B. Prophylactic Cranial Irradiation for Patients with Surgically Resected Small Cell Lung Cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2017, 12:347-353. [PMID: 27725211 DOI:10.1016/J.JTHO.2016.09.133]

54. **Eze C**, Roengvoraphoj O, Manapov F. Prophylactic Cranial Irradiation in Resected Early-Stage Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2017, 98:612-614. [PMID:28581402 DOI:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2017.03.002]
55. **Ozawa Y**, Omae M, Fujii M, Matsui T, Kato M, Sagisaka S, Asada K, Karayama M, Shirai T, Yasuda K, Nakamura Y, Inui N, Yamada K, Yokomura K, Suda T. Management of brain metastasis with magnetic resonance imaging and stereotactic irradiation attenuated benefits of prophylactic cranial irradiation in patients with limited-stage small cell lung cancer. *BMC Cancer* 2015, 15:589. [PMID:26275617 DOI:10.1186/s12885-015-1593-2]
56. **Farris MK**, Wheless WH, Hughes RT, Soike MH, Masters AH, Helis CA, Chan MD, Cramer CK, Ruiz J, Lycan T, Petty WJ, Ahmed T, Leyrer CM, Blackstock AW. Limited-Stage Small Cell Lung Cancer: Is Prophylactic Cranial Irradiation Necessary? *Pr Radiat Oncol* 2019, 9:e599-e607. [PMID:31271904 DOI:10.1016/j.prro.2019.06.014]
57. **Demedts IK**, Vermaelen KY, van Meerbeeck JP. Treatment of extensive stage small cell lung carcinoma: current status and future prospects. *Eur Respir J* 2010, 35:202-215. [PMID:20044461 DOI: 10.1183/09031936.00105009]
58. **Horn L**, Mansfield AS, Szczesna A, Havel L, Krzakowski M, Hochmair MJ, Huemer F, Losonczy G, Johnson ML, Nishio M, Reck M, Mok T, Lam S, Shames DS, Liu J, Ding B, Lopez-Chavez A, Kabbinarav F, Lin W, Sandler A, Liu SV; IMpower133 Study Group. First-Line Atezolizumab plus Chemotherapy in Extensive-Stage Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2018, 379:2220–2229. [PMID: 30280641 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1809064]
59. **Paz-Ares L**, Dvorkin M, Chen Y, Reinmuth N, Hotta K, Trukhin D, Statsenko G, Hochmair MJ, Özgüroğlu M, Ji JH, Voitko O, Poltoratskiy A, Ponce S, Verderame F, Havel L, Bondarenko I, Kazarnowicz A, Losonczy G, Conev NV, Armstrong J, Byrne N, Shire N, Jiang H, Goldman JW; CASPIAN investigators. Durvalumab plus platinum–etoposide versus platinum–etoposide in first line treatment of extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer (CASPIAN): a randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2019, 394:1929–1939. [PMID: 31590988 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32222-6]
60. **Rudin CM**, Awad MM, Navarro A, Gottfried M, Peters S, Csösz T, Cheema PK, Rodriguez-Abreu D, Wollner M, Czyzewicz G, Yang J C-H, Mazieres J, Orlandi FJ, Luft A, Gumus M, Kato T, Kalemkerian GP, Luo Y, Pietanza MC, Kim HR. Pembrolizumab or placebo plus etoposide and platinum (EP) as first-line therapy for extensive-stage (ES) small-cell lung cancer (SCLC): Randomized, double-blind, phase III Keynote-604 study. *J Clin Oncol* 2020, 38: 2369-2379. [PMID: 32468956 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.20.00793]
61. **Slotman BJ**, Faivre-Finn C, Kramer G, Rankin E, Snee M, Hatton M, Postmus P, Collette L, Musat E, Senan S; EORTC Radiation Oncology Group and Lung Cancer Group. Prophylactic cranial irradiation in extensive small-cell lung cancer. *N Eng J Med* 2007, 357:644-672. [PMID:17699816 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa071780]
62. **Slotman BJ**, Mauer ME, Bottomley A, Faivre-Finn C, Kramer GW, Rankin EM, Snee M, Hatton M, Postmus PE, Collette L, Senan S. Prophylactic cranial irradiation in extensive disease small-cell lung cancer: a short-term health-related quality of life and patient reported symptoms: results of an international Phase III randomized controlled trial by the EORTC Radiation Oncology and Lung Cancer Groups. *J Clin Oncol* 2009, 27:78-84. [PMID: 19047288 DOI:10.1200/JCO.2008.17.0746]
63. **Meert AP**, Paesmans M, Berghmans T, Martin B, Mascaux C, Vallot F, Verdebout JM, Lafitte JJ, Sculier JP. Prophylactic cranial irradiation in small cell lung cancer: A systematic review of the literature with meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer*. 2001, 1:5. [PMID: 11432756 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2407-1-5]

64. **Ge W**, Xu H, Yan Y, Cao D. The effects of prophylactic cranial irradiation versus control on survival of patients with extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis of 14 trials. *Radiat Oncol* 2018, 13:155. [PMID: 30139360 DOI: 10.1186/s13014-018-1101-3]
65. **Rule WG**, Foster NR, Meyers JP, Ashman JB, Vora SA, Kozelsky TF, Garces YI, Urbanic JJ, Salama JK, Schild SE. Prophylactic cranial irradiation in elderly patients with small cell lung cancer: findings from a North Central Cancer Treatment Group pooled analysis. *J Geriatr Oncol* 2015, 6:119-126. [PMID: 25482023 DOI: 10.1016/j.jgo.2014.11.002]
66. **Viani GA**, Boin AC, Ikeda VY, Vianna BS, Silva RS, Santanella F. Thirty years of prophylactic cranial irradiation in patients with small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *J Bras Pneumol* 2012, 38:372-381. [PMID: 22782608 DOI:10.1590/s1806-37132012000300013]
67. **Zhang W**, Jiang W, Luan L, Wang L, Zheng X, Wang G. Prophylactic cranial irradiation for patients with small-cell lung cancer: a systematic review of the literature with meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer* 2014, 14:793. [PMID: 25361811 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2407-14-793]
68. **Schild SE**, Foster NR, Meyers JP, Ross HJ, Stella PJ, Garces YI, Olivier KR, Molina JR, Past LR, Adjei AA; North Central Cancer Treatment Group. Prophylactic cranial irradiation in small-cell lung cancer: findings from a North Central Cancer Treatment Group pooled analysis. *Ann Oncol* 2012, 23:2919-2924. [PMID: 22782333 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mds123]
69. **Maeng CH**, Song JU, Shim SR, Lee J. The Role of prophylactic cranial irradiation in patients with extensive stage small cell lung cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Thorac Oncol* 2018, 13:840-848. [PMID: 29526825 DOI: 10.1016/j.jtho.2018.02.024]
70. **Takahashi T**, Yamanaka T, Seto T, Harada H, Nokihara H, Saka H, Nishio M, Kaneda H, Takayama K, Ishimoto O, Takeda K, Yoshioka H, Tachihara M, Sakai H, Goto K, Yamamoto N. Prophylactic cranial irradiation versus observation in patients with extensive-disease small-cell lung cancer: a multicenter, randomized, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2017, 18: 663-671. [PMID: 28343976. DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30230-9]
71. **Slotman BJ**. Should all patients with SCLC receive Prophylactic Cranial Irradiation If they have responded to treatment? PCI should be offered in nearly all cases. *Clin Adv Hematol Oncol* 2015, 13:729-731. [PMID: 27058696]
72. **Putora PM**, Glatzer M, Belderbos J, Besse B, Blackhall F, Califano R, Cappuzzo F, de Marinis F, Dziadziuszko R, Felip E, Faivre-Finn C, Früh M, Garrido P, Le Pechoux C, McDonald F, Nestle U, Novello S, O'Brien M, Paz Ares L, Peeters S, Pöttgen C, Ramella S, Reck M, Slotman B, Troost EGC, Van Houtte P, Westeel V, Widder J, Mornex F, De Ruyscher D. Prophylactic cranial irradiation in stage IV small cell lung cancer: Selection of patients amongst European IASLC and ESTRO experts. *Radiother Oncol* 2019, 133:163-166. [PMID: 30935574 DOI: 10.1016/j.radonc.2018.12.014].
73. **Jeremic B**, Shibamoto Y, Nikolic N, Milicic B, Milisavljevic S, Dagovic A, Aleksandrovic J, Radosavljevic-Asic G. Role of radiation therapy in the combined-modality treatment of patients with extensive disease small-cell lung cancer: A randomized study. *J Clin Oncol* 1999, 17:2092-2099. [PMID: 10561263 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.1999.17.7.2092]
74. **Slotman BJ**, van Tinteren H, Praag JO, Knegjens JL, El Sharouni SY, Hatton M, Keijsers A, Faivre-Finn C, Senan S. Use of thoracic radiotherapy for extensive stage small-cell lung cancer: a phase 3 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2015, 385:36-42. [PMID: 25230595 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61085-0]

75. **Gore EM**, Hu C, Sun AY, Grimm DF, Ramalingam SS, Dunlap NE, Higgins KA, Werner-Wasik M, Allen AM, Iyengar P, Videtic GMM, Hales RK, McGarry RC, Urbanic JJ, Pu AT, Johnstone CA, Stieber VW, Paulus R, Bradley JD. Randomized phase II study comparing prophylactic cranial irradiation alone to prophylactic cranial irradiation and consolidative extracranial irradiation for extensive-disease small cell lung cancer (ED SCLC): NRG Oncology RTOG 0937. *J Thorac Oncol* 2017, 12:1561-1570. [PMID: 28648948 DOI: 10.1016/j.jtho.2017.06.015]
76. **Palma DA**, Warner A, Louie AV, Senan S, Slotman B, Rodrigues GB. Thoracic Radiotherapy for Extensive Stage Small-Cell Lung Cancer: A Meta-Analysis. *Clinical Lung Cancer* 2015, 17:239-244. [PMID: 26498503 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clcc.2015.09.007>]
77. **Slotman BJ**, Faivre-Finn C, Van Tinteren H, Keijser A, Praag J, Kneijens J, Hatton M, van Dam I, van der Leest A, Reymen B, Stigt J, Haslett K, Tripathi D, Smit EF, Senan S. Which patients with ES-SCLC are most likely to benefit from more aggressive radiotherapy: A secondary analysis of the Phase III CREST trial. *Lung cancer* 2017, 108:150-153. [PMID: 28625628 DOI: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2017.03.007]
78. **Yee D**, Butts C, Reiman A, Joy A, Smylie M, Fenton D, Chu Q, Hanson J, Roa W. Clinical trial of post-chemotherapy consolidation thoracic radiotherapy for extensive-stage small cell lung cancer. *Radiother Oncol* 2012, 102:234-238. [PMID: 21930323 DOI: 10.1016/j.radonc.2011.08.042]
79. **Yee D**, Rathee S, Robinson D, Murray B. Temporal lung tumour volume changes in small-cell lung cancer patients undergoing chemoradiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2011, 80:142-147. [PMID: 20646851 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.01.056]
80. **Rowe L**, Krauze A, Hanson J, Yee D. Adaptive radiotherapy for limited stage small cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiol Imaging Technol* 2016, 2:013. [DOI: 10.23937/2572-3235.1510013]
81. **Tvilum M**, Khalil A, Moller D, Hoffmann L, Knap MM. Clinical outcome of image-guided adaptive radiotherapy in the treatment of lung cancer patients. *Acta Oncol* 2015, 54:1430-1437. [PMID: 26206515 DOI: 10.3109/0284186X.2015.1062544]
82. **Ramella S**, Fiore M, Greco C, Cordelli E, Sicilia R, Merone M, Molfese E, Miele M, Cornacchione P, Ippolito E, Iannello G, D'Angelillo RM, Soda P. A radiomic approach for adaptive radiotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer patients. *PLoS One*. 2018, 13:e0207455. [PMID: 30462705 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0207455]
83. **van Timmeren JE**, Leijenaar RTH, van Elmpt W, Reymen B, Oberije C, Monshouwer R, Bussink J, Brink C, Hansen O, Lambin P. Survival prediction of non-small cell lung cancer patients using radiomics analyses of cone-beam CT images. *Radiother Oncol* 2017, 123:363-369. [PMID: 28506693 DOI: 10.1016/j.radonc.2017.04.016]
84. **Seute T**, Leffers P, tenVelde GP, Twijnstra A. Detection of brain metastases from small cell lung cancer: consequences of changing imaging techniques (CT versus MRI). *Cancer* 2008, 112:1827-1834. [PMID: 18311784 DOI:10.1002/cncr.23361]
85. **Yin X**, Yan D, Qiu M, Huang L, Yan SX. Prophylactic cranial irradiation in small cell lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer* 2019, 19:95. [PMID: 30665432 DOI: 10.1186/s12885-018-5251-3]
86. **Pezzi TA**, Fang P, Gjyshi O, Feng L, Liu S, Komaki R, Lin SH. Rates of overall survival and intracranial control in the magnetic resonance imaging era for patients with limited-stage small cell lung cancer with and without prophylactic cranial irradiation. *JAMA Netw Open* 2020, 3:e201929. [PMID:32236532. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.1929>]

87. **Monje ML**, Mizumatsu S, Fike JR, Palmer TD. Irradiation induces neural precursor-cell dysfunction. *Nat Med* 2002, 8:955-962. [PMID: 12161748 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm749>]
88. **Mizumatsu S**, Monje ML, Morhardt DR, Rola R, Palmer TD, Fike JR. Extreme sensitivity of adult neurogenesis to low doses of X-irradiation. *Cancer Res* 2003, 63:4021-4027. [PMID: 12874001]
89. **Kundapur V**, Ellchuk T, Ahmed S, Gondi V. Risk of hippocampal metastases in small cell lung cancer patients at presentation and after cranial irradiation: A safety profile study for hippocampal sparing during prophylactic or therapeutic cranial irradiation. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2015, 91:781-786. [PMID: 25752392 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2014.12.026]
90. **Gondi V**, Pugh SL, Tome WA, Caine C, Corn B, Kanner A, Rowley H, Kundapur V, DeNittis A, Greenspoon JN, Konski AA, Bauman GS, Shah S, Shi W, Wendland M, Kachnic L, Mehta MP. Preservation of memory with conformal avoidance of the hippocampal neural stem-cell compartment during whole-brain radiotherapy for brain metastases (RTOG 0933): A phase II multi-institutional trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2014, 32:3810-3816. [PMID: 25349290 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2014.57.2909.]
91. **Rodríguez de Dios N**, Couñago F, López JL, Calvo P, Murcia M, Rico M, Vallejo C, Luna J, Trueba I, Cigarral C, Farre N, Manero RM, Durán X, Samper P. Treatment design and rationale for a randomized trial of prophylactic cranial irradiation with or without hippocampal avoidance for sclc: premer-trial on behalf of GOECP/SEOR- GICOR. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2018, 19:e693-e697. [PMID: 29891263 DOI: 10.1016/j.clcc.2018.05.003]
92. **Belderbos J**, De Ruyscher D, DeJaeger K, Lievens Y, Koppe F, Lambrecht M, Dieleman EM, Jaspers J, Van Meerbeek JP, uBBELS ff, Kwint M, Mulkler P, Kuenen M, Deprez S, de Ruiter M, van Tinteren H, Schagen S. The Incidence and Location of Brain Metastases Following HA-PCI Compared with Standard PCI in Small Cell Lung Cancer (SCLC): A Phase III Trial. Proceedings of the 61st American Society for Radiation Oncology Annual Meeting 2019 Sep 13-15; Chicago IL, USA. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2019, 105 Suppl1:s35 [DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2019.06.450]
93. **Rodríguez de Dios N**, Murcia M, Couñago F, López JL, Rico M, Samper PM, Vallejo C, Luna FJ, Trueba I, Cigarral C, Sotoca A, Gispert JD, Farré N, Manero RM, Capellades J, Jiménez M, Durán X, Ordoñez C, Rognoni T, Blanco M, Bacaicoa MC, Torrente M, Montero M, Alonso A, Escribano J, Martínez J, Calvo P. Phase III trial of prophylactic cranial irradiation with or without hippocampal avoidance for small-cell lung cancer on behalf of GOECP/SEOR-GICOR. Proceedings of the 61st American Society for Radiation Oncology Annual Meeting 2019 Sep 13-15; Chicago IL, USA. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2019, 105 Suppl1:s35-36. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2019.06.451]
94. **Brown PD**, Pugh S, Laack NN, Wefel JS, Khuntia D, Meyers C, Choucair A, Fox S, Suh JH, Roberge D, Kavadi V, Bentzen SM, Mehta MP, Watkins-Bruner D; Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG). Memantine for the prevention of cognitive dysfunction in patients receiving whole-brain radiotherapy: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Neuro Oncol* 2013, 15:1429-1437. [PMID: 23956241 DOI: 10.1093/neuonc/not114]
95. **Brown PD**, Gondi V, Pugh S, Tome WA, Wefel JS, Armstrong TS, Bovi JA, Robinson C, Konski A, Khuntia D, Grosshans D, Benzinger TLS, Bruner D, Gilbert MR, Roberge D, Kundapur V, Devisetty K, Shah S, Usuki K, Anderson BM, Stea B, Yoon H, Li J, Laack NN, Kruser TJ, Chmura SJ, Shi W, Deshmukh S, Mehta, MP and Kachnic LA for NRG Oncology. Hippocampal Avoidance During Whole-Brain Radiotherapy Plus Memantine for Patients with Brain Metastases: Phase III Trial NRG Oncology CC001. *J Clin Oncol* 2020, 38:1019-1029. [PMID: 32058845. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.19.02767]
96. **Robin TP**, Jones BL, Amini A, Koshy M, Gaspar LE, Liu AK, Nath SK, Kavanagh BD, Camidge DR, Rusthoven CG. Radiosurgery Alone Is Associated with Favorable Outcomes for Brain Metastases

from Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *Lung Cancer* 2018, 120: 88-90. [PMID: 29748022 DOI: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2018.03.027]

97. **Yomo S**, Hayashi M. Is Stereotactic Radiosurgery a Rational Treatment Option for Brain Metastases from Small Cell Lung Cancer? A Retrospective Analysis of 70 Consecutive Patients. *BMC Cancer* 2015, 15:95. [PMID: 25879433. DOI: 10.1186/s12885-015-1103-6]

98. **Rusthoven CG**, Yamamoto M, Bernhardt D, Smith DE, Gao D, Serizawa T, Yomo S, Aiyama H, Higuchi Y, Shuto T, Akabane A, Sato Y, Niranjana A, Faramand AM, Lunsford LD, McInerney J, Tuanquin LC, Zacharia BE, Chiang V, Singh C, Yu JB, Braunstein S, Mathieu D, Touchette CJ, Lee CC, Yang HC, Aizer AA, Cagney DN, Chan MD, Kondziolka D, Bernstein K, Silverman JS, Grills IS, Siddiqui ZA, Yuan JC, Sheehan JP, Cordeiro D, Nosaki K, Seto T, Deibert CP, Verma V, Day S, Halasz LM, Warnick RE, Trifiletti DM, Palmer JD, Attia A, Li B, Cifarelli CP, Brown PD, Vargo JA, Combs S, Kessel KA, Rieken S, Patel S, Guckenberger M, Andratschke N, Kavanagh BD, Robin TP. Evaluation of First-line Radiosurgery vs Whole-Brain Radiotherapy for Small Cell Lung Cancer Brain Metastases: The FIRE-SCLC Cohort Study. *JAMA Oncol* 2020, 6:1028-1037. [PMID: 32496550 DOI: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2020.1271]

99. **Bradley JD**, Paulus R, Komaki R, Masters G, Blumenschein G, Schild S, Bogart J, Hu C, Forster K, Magliocco A, Kavadi V, Garces YI, Narayan S, Iyengar P, Robinson C, Wynn RB, Koprofski C, Meng J, Beitler J, Gaur R, Curran W Jr, Choy H. Standard-dose versus high-dose conformal radiotherapy with concurrent and consolidation carboplatin plus paclitaxel with or without cetuximab for patients with stage IIIA or IIIB non-small-cell lung cancer (RTOG 0617): a randomised, two-by-two factorial phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2015, 16:187-199. [PMID: 25601342 DOI: 10.1016/S1473-2045(14)71207-0]

100. **Rwigema JM**, Verma V, Lin L, Berman AT, Levin WP, Evans TL, Aggarwal C, Rengan R, Langer C, Cohen RB, Simone CB 2nd. Prospective study of proton-beam radiation therapy for limited-stage small cell lung cancer. *Cancer* 2017, 123:4244-4251. [PMID: 28678434 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.30870]

<p>LIMITED STAGE</p>	<p>I-IIA: surgery ^[14-16]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SBRT if surgery is contraindicated or the patient refuses surgery ^[24,25] • Consider adjuvant systemic therapy ^[18-20] • No clear benefit of PCI ^[53,54] <p>IIB-IIIC:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • QT RT Concomitant ^[29-33]: Early (< 9 weeks or SER < 30 days) ^[38-40] 45 Gy BID ^[44] <i>Alternative:</i> 66 Gy OD (CONVERT) ^[48] 60 Gy BID (Norway) ^[34] • Postoperative RT: after surgical resection in pN1 and pN2 disease ^[23] • PCI: 25 Gy in 10 fractions ^[50-52] Consider hippocampal avoidance ^[92,93]
<p>EXTENSIVE STAGE</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consolidative Thoracic radiotherapy ^[73-76]: Most benefit if there are post-chemotherapy residual chest disease and ≤ 2 metastases ^[74,77] 30 Gy in 10 fractions (CREST) ^[74] Consider 40-45 Gy in 15 fractions ^[75,78] • PCI 25 Gy in 10 fractions ^[50,61-67] Consider hippocampal avoidance ^[92,93] Consider MRI surveillance in elderly patients and /or patients with neurocognitive impairment ^[70]

Table 1: Recommendations for radiotherapy in SCLC.

(SBRT: stereotactic body radiation therapy; PCI: prophylactic cranial irradiation; SER: time between the first day of chemotherapy and the last day of chest radiation; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging)