

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Journal of Clinical and Translational Research



Journal homepage: http://www.jctres.com/en/home

Changes in correlations between cervical and distal spinal sagittal alignments in asymptomatic population with aging

Jiang Xu^{1,2}, Junlong Zhong², Zongmiao Wan², Jiachao Xiong², Zhenhai Zhou², Honggui Yu², Kai Cao^{1,2*}

¹Nanchang University Spine and Spinal Cord Institute, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, 330006, China, ²Department of Orthopedics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, 330006, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: April 14, 2023 Revised: June 05, 2023 Accepted: July 31, 2023 Published: October 02, 2023

Keywords: Cervical alignment Spine Aging Asymptomatic population

**Corresponding author:* Kai Cao

The Orthopedic Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi Province, 330209, China. E-mail: kaichaw@126.com

© 2023 Author(s). This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial License, permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: In recent years, the correlations between spinal sequences and aging have been identified. However, the trends in correlations between cervical and distal spinal alignments with aging in asymptomatic populations remain underexplored.

Aim: This study aimed to compare the correlations between cervical and distal spinal sagittal alignments in different age groups as well as investigate their trends during the aging process in an asymptomatic population.

Methods: A total of 128 asymptomatic healthy volunteers were enrolled and stratified into four groups according to age (Group A: \leq 20 years; Group B: 21 – 40 years; Group C: 41 – 60 years; Group D: >61 years), with 32 subjects in each group. Then, sagittal spinal parameters including C0-1 Cobb angle (C0-1 CA), C1-2 Cobb angle (C1-2 CA), C0-2 Cobb angle (C0-2 CA), C2-7 Cobb angle (C2-7 CA), C2-7 sagittal vertical axis (C2-7 SVA), neck tilt, thoracic inlet angle (TIA), T1 slope (TS), thoracic kyphosis (TK), lumbar lordosis, pelvic tilt, sacral slope, pelvic incidence, and C7-S1 sagittal vertical axis (C7-S1 SVA) were measured, and differences in parameters among the four groups were analyzed. Finally, the trends in correlations between the cervical and distal spinal sagittal parameters were investigated in the different age groups.

Results: No significant correlations were detected between upper cervical sagittal parameters (C0-1 CA, C1-2 CA, and C0-2 CA) and distal spinal sagittal parameters in the four groups. An increasing trend with aging was discovered in the correlations between subaxial cervical sagittal parameters and distal spinal sagittal parameters. Finally, significant correlations were observed between TS and TK, as well as between TIA and TK in the four groups.

Conclusions: Subaxial cervical alignments exhibit a close correlation with distal spinal alignments during the aging process in the asymptomatic population. An increasing trend was observed with age in the correlations between C2-7 CA, C2-7 SVA, and distal spinal sagittal parameters.

Relevance for Patients: Given the correlations between the cervical and distal spinal alignments, which tend to increase with age, it is crucial to pay special attention to the influence of C2-7 CA and C2-7 SVA on distal spinal alignments before spinal surgery for patients.

1. Introduction

The sagittal balance of the spine has recently garnered increasing attention from spinal surgeons [1,2]. Indeed, sagittal alignment of the spine provides key information about spinal function and largely influences spinal biomechanics. Thus, maintaining the sagittal balance of the spine plays a vital role in maintaining the normal posture of the body [3].

As is well documented, the spine is composed of cervical and distal segments. As an essential component of the spine, the cervical spine plays an instrumental role in maintaining the

sagittal balance of the spine and the head position [4]. Meanwhile, a compensatory interaction has been reported between cervical alignment and distal thoracic, lumbar, and pelvic alignment to maintain the sagittal balance of the spine [5,6]. There is evidently a close relationship between cervical and distal spinal alignments.

Moreover, our previous study revealed fluctuations in cervical alignment parameters with aging in asymptomatic populations [7]. Nevertheless, the correlation between cervical alignment and distal spinal alignment with aging remains elusive. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate trends in the correlations between cervical and distal spinal sagittal alignments in the asymptomatic population with aging.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Selection of subjects

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the institute. A total of 206 volunteers consented to participate in the study from September 2020 to December 2021, and written informed consent was obtained from each volunteer. The inclusion criteria of the asymptomatic population were as follows: (1) no past history of chronic neck, low back, and back pain; (2) no history of spinal disease; (3) no history of hip, pelvic, or lower limb disease. The subjects were divided into 4 groups according to their age (Group A: ≤ 20 years old; Group B: 21 - 40 years old; Group C: 41 - 60 years old; Group D: ≥ 61 years old). Each group comprised 32 subjects. The age and body mass index of subjects were recorded.

2.2. Radiographic parameters

The subjects were placed in the upright position with a clenched fist resting on the supraclavicular fossa. Next, standard full-length anteroposterior and lateral radiographs of the spine were taken. The sagittal parameters of the global spine consisted of C0-1 Cobb angle (C0-1 CA), C1-2 Cobb angle (C1-2 CA), C0-2 Cobb angle (C0-2 CA), C2-7 Cobb angle (C2-7 CA), C2-7 sagittal vertical axis (C2-7 SVA), neck tilt (NT), T1 slope (TS), thoracic inlet angle (TIA), thoracic kyphosis (TK), lumbar lordosis (LL), sacral slope (SS), pelvic tilt angle (PT), pelvic incidence angle (PI), and C7-S1 SVA.

The aforementioned parameters were measured as follows: C0-1 Cobb angle was defined as the angle between McGregor's line (A) and the line (B) linking the anteroinferior and posteroinferior arch of atlas; C1-2 Cobb angle represented the angle between the line (B) linking the anteroinferior and posteroinferior arch of atlas and the parallel line (C) of the C2 lower end plate; C0-2 Cobb angle was the angle between the McGregor line (A) and the parallel line (C) of the C2 lower end plate; C2-7 Cobb angle was defined as the angle between the parallel line (C) of the C2 lower end plate and the parallel line (D) of the C7 lower end plate; C2-7 SVA characterized the distance between the vertical line from the center of C2 and the posterior superior corner of C7; NT symbolized the angle between two lines both originating from the upper end of the sternum, one of them being a vertical line, while the other one was connected to the center of the T1 upper end plate; TS was the angle between the horizontal plane and the parallel line of the T1 upper end plate; TIA was the angle between the line perpendicular to the T1 upper end plate and a straight line from the center of the T1 upper end plate to the upper end of the sternum; TK denoted the angle between the T1 upper end plate and the T12 lower end plate; LL represented the angle between the L1 upper end plate and the S1 upper end plate; SS was the angle between the sacral end plate and the horizontal plane; PT was the angle formed by the vertical line and the line passing through the midpoint of the sacral end plate to the center of the femoral head; PI was the angle between the line perpendicular to the midpoint of the sacral end plate and the line connecting the midpoint of the sacral end plate to the center of the femoral head; C7-S1 SVA was the distance between a vertical line from the center of C7 and the posterior corner of the sacrum. Examples of the above parameters are illustrated in Figure 1.

2.3. Statistical analysis

SPSS 22.0 statistical software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was employed for statistical analysis. One-way ANOVA statistical method was used for intergroup comparisons, and Pearson correlation analysis was used to analyze the correlation between cervical alignment and distal spinal alignment. All values were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, and a P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic characteristics of asymptomatic volunteers

The study included 128 subjects, ranging in age from 16 to 81 years old and comprising 65 males and 63 females. The mean age of the subjects was 40.8 ± 20.0 years. The demographic data of volunteers are detailed in Table 1.

3.2. Parameters analysis

One-way ANOVA was utilized to analyze differences in global spinal sagittal parameters among the groups. The results revealed no significant differences in C0-1 CA (P = 0.096) and PI (P = 0.502) in the four groups. Meanwhile, there were significant differences in C1-2 CA (P = 0.025), C0-2 CA (P = 0.050), C2-7 CA (P = 0.000), C2-7 SVA (P = 0.018), NT (P = 0.000), TS (P = 0.000), TIA (P = 0.000), TK (P = 0.000), LL (P = 0.007), SS (P = 0.019), PT (P = 0.000), and C7-S1 SVA (P = 0.000) in the four groups. Details are summarized in Table 2.

The Pearson correlation coefficients of C0-1 CA, C1-2 CA, and C0-2 CA and distal spinal parameters were all lower than 0.3, and no apparent trend in correlation was observed with aging. In the four groups, the Pearson correlation coefficients between C2-7 CA and TK were -0.236 (P = 0.193), -0.362 (P = 0.042), -0.502 (P = 0.003), and -0.655 (P = 0.000), the coefficients between C2-7 SVA and SS were 0.045 (P = 0.808), 0.265 (P = 0.143), 0.362 (P = 0.042), and 0.628 (P = 0.000), while the Pearson correlation coefficients between C2-7 SVA were 0.213 (P = 0.242), 0.322 (P = 0.072), 0.460 (P = 0.008), and



Figure 1. Illustrative schematic displaying cervical and distal spinal sagittal parameters: C0-1 CA, C1-2 CA, C0-2 CA, C2-7 CA, and C2-7 SVA, NT, TS, TIA, TK, LL, SS, PT, PI, and C7-S1 SVA.

Abbreviations: C0-1 CA: C0-1 Cobb angle; C1-2 CA: C1-2 Cobb angle; C0-2 CA: C0-2 Cobb angle; C2-7 CA: C2-7 Cobb angle; C2-7 SVA: C2-7 sagittal vertical axis; NT: Neck tilt; TS: T1 slope; TIA: Thoracic inlet angle; TK: Thoracic kyphosis; LL: Lumbar lordosis; SS: Sacral slope; PT: Pelvic tilt; PI: Pelvic incidence; C7-S1 SVA: C7-S1 sagittal vertical axis.

Characteristic	Group A: ≤20 years N=32	Group B: 21 – 40 years <i>N</i> =32	Group C: 41 – 60 years <i>N</i> =32	Group D: ≥61 years N=32
Male	18	17	13	17
Female	14	15	19	15
BMI (kg/m ²)	17.7±1.4	23.6±6.6	26.9±5.5	26.8±6.6
Age (years)	14.0±4.4	34.1±5.5	48.7±4.8	66.5±5.4

BMI: Body mass index

Table 2. Values of spinal sagittal alignment parameters in the four groups

Radiographic parameter	Group A: ≤20 years N=32	Group B: 21 – 40 years <i>N</i> =32	Group C: 41 – 60 years <i>N</i> =32	Group D: ≥61 years <i>N</i> =32	<i>P</i> -value
C0-1 CA	12.5±6.9	9.3±10.8	13.5±4.6	13.5±7.4	0.096
C1-2 CA	-20.4±5.6	-20.7±10.2	-24.0 ± 5.9	-24.8±5.8	0.025*
C0-2 CA	-7.1±6.1	-11.5 ± 8.4	-10.5 ± 6.2	-11.3±7.2	0.050*
C2-7 CA	-7.9 ± 8.2	-6.5±10.3	-13.1±8.0	-17.0±10.1	0.000**
C2-7 SVA	20.1±9.1	18.2±10.6	20.3±8.2	25.7±10.9	0.018*
NT	41.4±6.9	47.3±9.7	50.5±8.8	51.1±10.6	0.000**
TS	22.1±5.1	20.3±5.7	23.8±4.9	27.1±8.8	0.000**
TIA	63.5±9.8	67.6±9.5	74.2±8.3	78.2±11.8	0.000**
TK	36.0±7.2	38.6±8.1	42.5±7.7	48.3±10.9	0.000**
LL	-50.5±10.5	-42.0 ± 9.8	-44.4±9.6	-42.1±13.4	0.007**
SS	34.6±7.3	32.4±8.4	31.9±7.3	28.6±7.4	0.019**
РТ	7.9±8.5	12.9±8.1	13.7±10.7	18.1±7.8	0.000**
PI	42.6±8.2	45.3±11.1	45.5±12.7	46.7±11.6	0.502
C7-S1 SVA	-7.3 ± 31.0	2.7±28.5	-8.5 ± 24.7	28.4±43.3	0.000**

*P<0.05: **P<0.01

Abbreviations: C0-1 CA: C0-1 Cobb angle; C1-2 CA: C1-2 Cobb angle; C0-2 CA: C0-2 Cobb angle; C2-7 CA: C2-7 Cobb angle; C2-7 SVA: C2-7 sagittal vertical axis; NT: Neck tilt; TS: T1 slope; TIA: Thoracic inlet angle; TK: Thoracic kyphosis; LL: Lumbar lordosis; SS: Sacral slope; PT: Pelvic tilt; PI: Pelvic incidence; C7-S1 SVA: C7-S1 sagittal vertical axis

0.581 (P = 0.000), and finally, the coefficients between TS and TK were 0.443 (P = 0.011), 0.664 (P = 0.000), 0.529 (P = 0.002), and 0.757 (P = 0.000). The correlations between the abovementioned cervical parameters and distal spinal parameters showed an increasing trend with age (Figure 2). In addition, the

Pearson correlation coefficient between TIA and TK was 0.448 (P = 0.010), 0.486 (P = 0.005), 0.382 (P = 0.031), and 0.479(P = 0.006), exposing significant differences among groups, but no trend was noted. The correlations between cervical and distal spinal parameters in the four groups are displayed in Table 3.



Figure 2. The correlations between the cervical and distal spinal parameters showed an increasing trend with aging, and TIA and TS were significantly correlated with TK, but no definite trend in correlation was detected between TIA and TK. Abbreviations: TS: T1 slope; TIA: Thoracic inlet angle; TK: Thoracic kyphosis.

4. Discussion

In recent years, an increasing number of studies has reported the correlations between cervical and thoracolumbar pelvic alignments. For instance, Lee *et al.* discovered factors impacting cervical spine sagittal balance in asymptomatic adults and identified the T1 slope as a key factor [8]. At the same time, other scholars have found that C7 SVA is strongly correlated with C2-7 CA [5]; the C7 slope is a link between the occipitocervical and thoracolumbar spine [9]. These results all established the correlations between the cervical alignments and the distal spinal alignments. Our previous studies evinced that some cervical spine parameters tend to vary with aging [7]. Meanwhile, the interaction between cervical and distal spine alignments has also been reported [10,11]. Therefore, the trends of their correlations with aging, which have not been focused on so far, deserve further investigation.

Asymptomatic populations were stratified according to age, and sagittal parameters of the global spine were measured. Our study determined a gradual increase in C1-2 CA, C0-2 CA, C2-7 CA, C2-7 SVA, NT, TS, TIA, TK, PT, and C7-S1 SVA with aging. On the contrary, a gradual decrease in LL and SS was noted with aging. These results are consistent with those of Yukawa et al. [12]. Moreover, the results of cervical spine parameters were also comparable to those of our previous research [7], corroborating that sagittal spine parameters show a tendency to change with age. Notably, the loss of lumbar lordosis attributed to disk degeneration has been universally recognized as the initiator of the degenerative cascade, eventually leading to reciprocal changes in other regions [13]. Thoracic alignments serve as a bridge between cervical and distal spinal alignments. As individuals age, the thoracic vertebras begin to manifest adaptive changes, with a wedge-shaped which is narrow at the front and wide at the back [14,15], resulting in physiological kyphosis. Besides, earlier studies described that thoracic kyphosis was progressively aggravated during the aging process owing to the accumulation of mechanical load [14,16], especially in women and elderly osteoporotic patients [17,18]. It is worthwhile emphasizing that thoracic kyphosis steadily worsens over time, and lumbar lordosis concurrently decreases, leading to adaptive adjustments in the spine, such as increased cervical lordosis and decreased pelvic inclination. The synergistic effect of thoracic and lumbar alignments partially explains the trend observed with aging between cervical and distal spinal alignments.

Furthermore, the correlations between cervical and distal spinal parameters were compared among the different groups, and the results demonstrated that the correlations between upper cervical spine parameters (C0-1 CA, C1-2 CA, and C0-2 CA) and distal spinal parameters were all below 0.3, while the correlations between subaxial cervical parameters (C2-7 CA and C2-7 SVA) and distal spinal parameters showed an increasing trend with time. To maintain the sagittal balance of the global spine, changes in the thoracic and lumbar alignment require compensation through the involvement of the cervical spine [19]. Nonetheless, this balance maintenance is supposed to be sufficient through subaxial cervical alignment compensation and does not necessitate the involvement of upper cervical spinal and distal spinal parameters.

At the same time, the correlations between C2-7 CA and TK, C2-7 SVA and SS, as well as C2-7 SVA and C7-S1 SVA showed an increasing trend with age in our study. This signified that in the elderly population, there is a strong correlation between cervical lordosis and thoracic kyphosis and between the forward translation of the cervical spine and the forward translation of the global spine. These phenomena occur naturally over time, which might be related to spinal degeneration and atrophy of the paravertebral extensor. Indeed, recent studies corroborated that muscle atrophy and spinal degeneration lead to corresponding alterations in spinal and pelvic alignments [20-25]. Yang [20] found a negative correlation between the atrophy of the paravertebral extensor and cervical sagittal parameters, while Okada [21] reported that changes in cervical spinal alignment contribute to the progression of disc degeneration at C7-T1. In other words, degeneration increased the correlation between cervical lordosis and thoracic kyphosis and that between cervical forward translation and global spine forward translation. We speculate that in the youth population, well-developed cervical paravertebral extensors, intervertebral discs, and intervertebral joints aid in supporting the head, thereby maintaining the horizontal gaze and keeping the head's central gravity line close to the pelvis. However, following paravertebral extensor atrophy, and intervertebral disc and intervertebral joint degeneration, the cervical spine not only becomes more lordotic to compensate for the increased thoracic kyphosis but also leans

Cervical parameters	Distal spinal parameters	Group A: ≤20 years	Group B: 21 – 40 years	Group C: 41 – 60 years	Group D: ≥61 years
C0-1 CA	TK	-0.036	0.135	0.191	0.263
	LL	0.108	-0.083	-0.058	-0.168
	SS	0.146	0.114	0.056	-0.151
	РТ	-0.139	0.055	0.297	0.113
	PI	-0.016	0.127	0.283	-0.020
	C7–S1 SVA	0.031	0.019	-0.051	-0.190
C1–2 CA	ТК	0.238	-0.127	-0.216	-0.119
	LL	-0.086	-0.002	0.151	0.343
	SS	-0.092	0.019	0.026	0.018
	PT	-0.083	-0.028	-0.134	-0.095
	PI	-0.169	-0.006	-0.098	-0.052
	C7–S1 SVA	-0.129	0.085	-0.121	-0.087
CO-2 CA	TK	0.084	0.018	-0.064	0.177
	LL	0.065	-0.109	0.101	0.103
	SS	0.075	0.169	0.066	-0.142
	PT	-0.147	0.037	0.093	0.041
	PI	-0.087	0.156	0.117	-0.063
	C7–S1 SVA	-0.155	0.127	-0.153	-0.268
C2–7 CA	TK	-0.236	-0.362*	-0.502**	-0.655**
	LL	0.105	0.195	0.389*	0.202
	SS	0.136	-0.075	0.221	-0.103
	РТ	-0.155	-0.057	-0.177	0.155
	PI	-0.041	-0.099	-0.022	0.039
	C7–S1 SVA	0.022	0.048	0.201	0.163
C2–7 SVA	TK	0.076	-0.141	-0.361*	0.105
	LL	0.116	-0.064	0.134	0.080
	SS	0.045	0.265	0.362*	0.628**
	PT	0.240	-0.378*	0.045	-0.191
	PI	0.291	-0.075	0.247	0.273
	C7–S1 SVA	0.213	0.322	0.460**	0.581**
NT	TK	0.312	0.087	0.068	-0.094
	LL	0.324	-0.064	0.065	-0.273
	SS	-0.299	-0.333	-0.098	-0.185
	РТ	0.292	0.255	0.146	-0.134
	PI	0.038	-0.067	0.067	-0.209
	C7–S1 SVA	-0.328	-0.193	-0.161	0.021
TS	TK	0.443*	0.664**	0.529**	0.757**
	LL	0.131	-0.284	-0.213	-0.155
	SS	-0.024	0.243	-0.198	0.331
	РТ	0.319	-0.153	-0.068	-0.151
	PI	0.312	0.073	-0.171	0.110
	C7–S1 SVA	-0.003	-0.047	-0.285	0.180
TIA	TK	0.448*	0.486**	0.382*	0.479**
	LL	0.296	-0.235	-0.056	-0.360*
	SS	-0.223	-0.193	-0.220	0.081
	РТ	0.370*	0.168	0.115	-0.233
	PI	0.187	-0.024	-0.030	-0.106
	C7–S1 SVA	-0.233	-0.225	-0.338	0.153

Table 3. Correlations between cervical and distal spinal parameters in the four groups

*P<0.05; **P<0.01.

Abbreviations: C0-1 CA: C0-1 Cobb angle; C1-2 CA: C1-2 Cobb angle; C0-2 CA: C0-2 Cobb angle; C2-7 CA: C2-7 Cobb angle; C2-7 SVA: C2-7 sagittal vertical axis; NT: Neck tilt; TS: T1 slope; TIA: Thoracic inlet angle; TK: Thoracic kyphosis; LL: Lumbar lordosis; SS: Sacral slope; PT: Pelvic tilt; PI: Pelvic incidence; C7-S1 SVA: C7-S1 sagittal vertical axis

forward to support the head, thereby increasing C2-7 CA and C2-7 SVA, meanwhile, the more kyphotic the thoracic spine, the lower the degree of lordosis in the lumbar spine. Moreover, the retroverted pelvis, which manifests over time, pushes the trunk forward and thus elevates the C7-S1 SVA. This mechanism may explain the upward trend of correlations between cervical and distal spinal alignment with aging.

In addition, the correlations between TIA and TK in the four groups were significant, but no trends were observed with aging. This result revealed that the correlation between TIA and TK was consistent and not influenced by aging. Correspondingly, the correlation between TS and TK showed an increasing trend with aging, which implied that the impact of TK on TS was more pronounced than TIA during the aging process.

Finally, there were some limitations in this study that needs to be taken into consideration. To begin, the sample size of this study was relatively small, and more subjects need to be enrolled in future studies to reach credible conclusions. Second, the patients were enrolled from South China, and hence, our findings may not be generalizable to the global population. Therefore, future studies should enroll participants from different regions and ethnicities.

5. Conclusion

The alignments of the subaxial cervical spine are closely correlated with distal spinal sagittal alignment during the aging process in the asymptomatic population. In addition, an increasing trend with aging was observed in the correlations between C2-7 CA and TK, C2-7 SVA and SS, and C2-7 SVA and C7-S1 SVA.

Acknowledgments

None.

Funding

This work was funded by Double-thousand Plan Program of Jiangxi Province (jxsq2023201023) and Clinical Cultivation Project of The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University (No.YFYLCYJPY202202).

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest in this research.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

This study was approved by Institutional Review Board of The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, and informed consent was obtained from the patients by the authors.

Consent for Publication

Consent was given by the patients to publish their data and images in this paper.

References

[1] Gottipati P, Stine R, Ganju A, Fatone S. The Effect of Positive Sagittal Spine Balance and Reconstruction Surgery on Standing Balance. Gait Posture 2018;62:227-34.

- [2] Jeon CH, Kwack KS, Park S, Lee HD, Chung NS. Combination of Whole-Spine Lateral Radiograph and Lateral Scanogram in the Assessment of Global Sagittal Balance. Spine J 2018;18:255-60.
- [3] Aulisa L, Pitta L, Padua R, Ceccarelli E, Aulisa A, Leone A. Biomechanics of the Spine. Rays 2000;25:11-8.
- [4] Tan LA, Riew KD, Traynelis VC. Cervical Spine Deformity-Part 1: Biomechanics, Radiographic Parameters, and Classification. Neurosurgery 2017;81:197-203.
- [5] Alijani B, Rasoulian J. The Sagittal Balance of the Cervical Spine: Radiographic Analysis of Interdependence between the Occipitocervical and Spinopelvic Alignment. Asian Spine J 2020;14:287-97.
- [6] Tang Y, Zhao W, Liu X, Yu M. Normative Values of Cervical Sagittal Alignment According to Global Spine Balance: Based on 126 Asymptomatic Chinese Young Adults. Eur Spine J 2021;30:2427-33.
- [7] Chen Y, Luo J, Pan Z, Yu L, Pang L, Zhong J, et al. The Change of Cervical Spine Alignment Along with Aging in Asymptomatic Population: A Preliminary Analysis. Eur Spine J 2017;26:2363-71.
- [8] Lee SH, Son ES, Seo EM, Suk KS, Kim KT. Factors Determining Cervical Spine Sagittal Balance in Asymptomatic Adults: Correlation with Spinopelvic Balance and Thoracic Inlet Alignment. Spine J 2015;15:705-12.
- [9] Núñez-Pereira S, Hitzl W, Bullmann V, Meier O, Koller H. Sagittal Balance of the Cervical Spine: An Analysis of Occipitocervical and Spinopelvic Interdependence, with C-7 Slope as a Marker of Cervical and Spinopelvic Alignment. J Neurosurg Spine 2015;23:16-23.
- [10] Okubo T, Yagi M, Suzuki S, Takahashi Y, Nori S, Tsuji O, et al. Cervical Sagittal Alignment is Influenced by Changes in Thoracic and Lumbar Sagittal Alignments after Correction Surgery in Patients with Lenke Type 6 Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis. N Am Spine Soc J 2022;12:100166.
- [11] Yan P, Zhang Y, Liu S, Shu S, Liu Z, Zhu Z, et al. Sagittal Profile Response of Cervical Spine After Posterior Correction in Thoracic and Lumbar Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis: Correlation with Thoracic Kyphosis? World Neurosurg 2018;120:e333-41.
- [12] Yukawa Y, Kato F, Suda K, Yamagata M, Ueta T, Yoshida M. Normative Data for Parameters of Sagittal Spinal Alignment in Healthy Subjects: An Analysis of Gender Specific Differences and Changes with Aging in 626 Asymptomatic Individuals. Eur Spine J 2018;27:426-32.
- [13] Videman T, Battié MC, Gill K, Manninen H, Gibbons LE, Fisher LD. Magnetic Resonance Imaging Findings and their Relationships in the Thoracic and Lumbar Spine. Insights into the Etiopathogenesis of Spinal Degeneration. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 1995;20:928-35.

- [14] Harrison DD, Harrison DE, Janik TJ, Cailliet R, Haas J. Do Alterations in Vertebral and Disc Dimensions Affect an Elliptical Model of Thoracic Kyphosis? Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2003;28:463-9.
- [15] Harrison DE, Jones EW, Janik TJ, Harrison DD. Evaluation of Axial and Flexural Stresses in the Vertebral Body Cortex and Trabecular Bone in Lordosis and Two Sagittal Cervical Translation Configurations with an Elliptical Shell Model. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 2002;25:391-401.
- [16] Granata KP, Wilson SE. Trunk Posture and Spinal Stability. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 2001;16:650-9.
- [17] Bartynski WS, Heller MT, Grahovac SZ, Rothfus WE, Kurs-Lasky M. Severe Thoracic Kyphosis in the Older Patient in the Absence of Vertebral Fracture: Association of Extreme Curve with Age. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2005;26:2077-85.
- [18] Horton WC, Kraiwattanapong C, Akamaru T, Minamide A, Park JS, Park MS, *et al.* The Role of the Sternum, Costosternal Articulations, Intervertebral Disc, and Facets in Thoracic Sagittal Plane Biomechanics: A Comparison of Three Different Sequences of Surgical Release. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2005;30:2014-23.
- [19] Berthonnaud E, Dimnet J, Roussouly P, Labelle H. Analysis of the Sagittal Balance of the Spine and Pelvis Using Shape and Orientation Parameters. J Spinal Disord Tech 2005;18:40-7.
- [20] Yang P, Wen B, Ge R, Liu X, Tan L, Zhong J, *et al.* The Effect of Cervical Paravertebral Extensor Degeneration

on Sagittal Alignment and Functional Status of Cervical Spine in Patients with Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy. Clin Spine Surg 2021;34:E382-9.

- [21] Okada E, Daimon K, Fujiwara H, Nishiwaki Y, Nojiri K, Watanabe M, *et al.* Twenty-Year Longitudinal Followup MRI Study of Asymptomatic Volunteers: The Impact of Cervical Alignment on Disk Degeneration. Clin Spine Surg 2018;31:446-51.
- [22] Ogon I, Takashima H, Morita T, Oshigiri T, Terashima Y, Yoshimoto M, et al. Association between Spinopelvic Alignment and Lumbar Intervertebral Disc Degeneration Quantified with Magnetic Resonance Imaging T2 Mapping in Patients with Chronic Low Back Pain. Spine Surg Relat Res 2020;4:135-41.
- [23] Lv X, Liu Y, Zhou S, Wang Q, Gu H, Fu X, et al. Correlations between the Feature of Sagittal Spinopelvic Alignment and Facet Joint Degeneration: A Retrospective Study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2016;17:341.
- [24] Li Q, Sun J, Cui X, Jiang Z, Li T. Analysis of Correlation between Degeneration of Lower Lumbar Paraspinal Muscles and Spinopelvic Alignment in Patients with Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fracture. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil 2017;30:1209-14.
- [25] Fujimori T, Le H, Schairer W, Inoue S, Iwasaki M, Oda T, et al. The Relationship between Cervical Degeneration and Global Spinal Alignment in Patients with Adult Spinal Deformity. Clin Spine Surg 2017;30:E423-9.

Publisher's note

AccScience Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.